

**ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE, URBAN REVITALIZATION, AND BROWNFIELDS:
THE SEARCH FOR AUTHENTIC SIGNS OF HOPE**

**A Report on the
"Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields:
Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities"**

*National Environmental Justice Advisory Council
Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee
Charles Lee, Chairperson*

**-- WORKING DRAFT #1 --
January 31, 1996
(not for quotation or attribution)**

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE, URBAN REVITALIZATION, AND BROWNFIELDS:
THE SEARCH FOR AUTHENTIC SIGNS OF HOPE

A Report on the
"Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields:
Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities"

National Environmental Justice Advisory Council
Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee
Charles Lee, Chairperson

-- WORKING DRAFT #1 --
January 31, 1996
(not for quotation or attribution)

Please direct inquiries to :

Charles Lee
United Church of Christ
Commission for Racial Justice
475 Riverside Drive, 16th Floor
New York, NY 10115
Phone: 212-870-2077
Fax: 212-870-2162

Ms. Jan Young or Ms. Katherine Dawes
Office of Solid Waste & Emergency Response
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
401 M Street, SW (Mail Code 5101)
Washington, DC 20460
Phone: 202-260-1691 or 202-260-8394
Fax: 202-260-6606

Please submit written comments to:

Ms. Victoria Robinson
PRC Environmental Management, Inc.
1593 Spring Hill Road, Suite 300
Vienna, VA 22182
Phone: 703-287-8889
Fax: 703-287-8910

DEDICATION

Dr. Jean Sindab, Director of Environmental and Economic Justice/Hunger Concerns for the National Council of Churches and a member of the National Environmental Justice Advisory Council, passed away on January 8, 1996 after a long and hard battle with cancer. Those of us who knew her well are deeply saddened by our loss. In reflecting upon Jean's life, we realized that this report attempts to speak to some of the issues at the very core of her life's work. For example, she and I worked on developing an Urban Strategies Initiative for the National Council of Churches in the wake of events in South Central Los Angeles. She organized the Black Church Network on Environmental and Economic Justice.

Jean chose to serve on the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee because of her interest in the job creation aspects of the brownfields issue. Her passion was the plight of inner city youth, and she clearly understood the linkages between living in degraded physical environments, mass alienation, and destructive violence. It can be said that her life's work was dedicated to the constant search for authentic signs of hope. Many formative concepts behind this report germinated during our discussions years before the establishment of the National Environmental Justice Advisory Council. Jean struggled mightily to attend all the NEJAC "Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields." She especially liked the idea of "Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities." Despite her illness, she was able to attend our Public Dialogue in Boston, and we were indeed graced by her presence.

We believe that the vision which this report hopes to articulate is one she embraced and dedicated her life to help realize. She helped me to formulate the question which pervades this report: Can the restoration of the physical environment become an anchoring point for economic, social, cultural, and spiritual renewal? In very real sense, she contributed to this report in ways she may never know. Therefore, we dedicate this report to the "living" memory of the life and work of Dr. Jean Sindab.

Charles Lee

CONTENTS

<i>Executive Summary</i>	p. i
<i>Background</i>	p. 1
<i>Application of NEJAC Public Participation Model</i>	p. 5
<i>Key Questions in the Brownfields Debate</i>	p. 7
I. Understanding the Nature of the Urban Environment	
II. The Ecological Importance of Urban Areas	
III. Reframing the Urban/Rural Dichotomy	
IV. Confronting the Issue of Race	
V. Urban Revitalization and Community-Driven Models of Redevelopment	
VI. Community Mapping and Community-Based Environmental Protection	
VII. Executive Order 12898 and Government Reinvention	
VIII. Environmental Justice and the Next Generation of Environmental Protection	
<i>Summary Description of Brownfields Dialogues</i>	p. 19
<i>Urban Revitalization/Brownfields Recommendations</i>	p. 21
I. Public Participation and Community Vision	
1. Informed and Empowered Community Involvement	
2. Community Vision/Comprehensive Community Based Planning	
3. Role and Participation of Youth	
II. Key Issue Areas	
4. Equal Protection	
5. Public Health, Environmental Standards, and Liability	
6. Job Creation, Training, and Career Development	
7. Land Use	
III. Public and Private Sector Partnerships	
8. Community/Private Sector Partnerships	
9. Local, State, Tribal, and Territorial Government	
10. Federal Interagency Cooperation, Programmatic Integration and Government Reinvention	
<i>Conclusion</i>	p. 53
<i>Appendices</i>	p. X

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE, URBAN REVITALIZATION, AND BROWNFIELDS:

THE SEARCH FOR AUTHENTIC SIGNS OF HOPE

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 1995, the National Environmental Justice Advisory Council (NEJAC) Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency co-sponsored a series of public hearings entitled, "Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities." The Public Dialogues were held in five cities: Boston, Massachusetts; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Detroit, Michigan; Oakland, California; and Atlanta, Georgia. They were intended to provide for the first time an opportunity for EPA environmental justice advocates and residents of impacted communities to systematically provide input regarding issues related to the EPA's Brownfields Economic Redevelopment Initiative.

More than 500 persons from community groups, government agencies, faith groups, labor, philanthropies, universities, banks, businesses, and other institutions participated in a "systematic attempt to stimulate a new and vigorous public discourse about developing strategies, partnerships, models, and projects for ensuring healthy and sustainable communities in America's urban centers and demonstrating their importance to the nation's environmental and economic future." Representatives from 15 federal agencies as well state and local governments participated.

Concerns were raised by members of the public about the Brownfields Initiative, i.e., whether or not the brownfields issue was a "smoke screen" for gutting cleanup standards, environmental regulations, and liability safeguards. Heretofore, public policy discourse around the brownfields issue has revolved around removing barriers to real estate and investment transactions at sites where there exists toxic contamination concerns--real or perceived.

There is hope that the Brownfields Initiative will provide an opportunity to (1) stem the ecologically untenable, environmentally damaging, socially costly, and racially divisive phenomenon of urban sprawl and greenfields development; (2) provide focus to a problem which by its very nature is inextricably linked to environmental justice, for example, the physical deterioration of the nation's urban areas; (3) allow communities to offer their vision of what redevelopment should look like; (4) apply environmental justice principles to the development of a new generation of environmental policy capable of meeting complex challenges such as brownfields and the existence of a severe crisis in urban America; and (5) bring greater awareness and opportunities for building partnerships between EPA and communities and other stakeholders. As a result, EPA committed itself to supporting a sustained dialogue on brownfields and environmental justice issues.

EPA already has begun to address concerns raised during the Public Dialogues. For example, EPA revised the criteria for applying for the Brownfields pilots based on comments provided by the NEJAC. The comments emphasize community involvement and recommend that the extent of community involvement be verified. EPA also is holding a major Interagency workshop in February 1996 to increase the coordination of federal agencies on issues related to brownfields and environmental justice. These efforts lay an important foundation for EPA and other agencies to address the recommendations in this report.

Environmental Justice and Brownfields

Abandoned commercial and industrial properties called "brownfields," which dot the urban landscape, are overwhelmingly concentrated in people of color, low-income, and otherwise marginalized communities. By their very nature, brownfields are a product of social inequity, racial discrimination and urban decay--specifically manifested in adverse land use decisions, housing discrimination, residential segregation, community disinvestment, infrastructure decay, lack of educational and employment opportunity, and other issues.

The existence of degraded and hazardous physical environments in people of color, low-income, and otherwise disenfranchised communities is apparent and indisputable. The physical elements of such environments, in part or in whole, have contributed to human disease and illness, negative psycho-social impact, economic disincentive, infrastructure decay, and overall community disintegration. Brownfields are merely one aspect of this phenomenon.

Environmental justice and brownfields are inextricably linked. All stakeholder groups must recognize that the inescapable context for discussion of the brownfields issue is environmental justice and urban revitalization. At the core of an environmental justice perspective is the recognition of the interconnectedness of the physical environment to the overall economic, social, human, and cultural/spiritual health of a community. The vision of environmental justice is the development of a paradigm to achieve socially equitable, environmentally healthy, economically secure, psychologically vital, spiritually whole, and ecologically sustainable communities. To this end, brownfields redevelopment must be linked to helping address this broader set of community needs and goals.

Key issues in the brownfields debate are:

- Understanding the Nature of Urban Environments
- The Ecological Importance of Urban Areas
- Reframing the Urban/Rural Dichotomy
- Confronting the Issue of Race and Class
- Urban Revitalization and Community-Driven Models of Redevelopment
- Community Mapping and Community-Based Environmental Protection
- Executive Order 12898 and Government Reinvention
- Environmental Justice and the Next Generation of Environmental Protection.

The brownfields issue compels an examination of development patterns on a regional basis, offering a vision of making links between different communities across the region with common perspectives on social issues as well as environmental issues, and developing strategies to address the polarization between suburban and inner city areas. Likewise, the brownfields issue compels an examination of integration between place-based approaches to environmental protection with sector-based approaches and their implications for industrial policy.

Such an approach has important ramifications for the development of strategies, partnerships, models, and pilot projects. It requires a firm commitment towards the goals of environmental justice and must involve the community as an equal partner. In addition, it must integrate activities of all federal

agencies as well as their state, local, and tribal counterparts. Through these Public Dialogues, communities have articulated a highly compelling vision of the future that speaks to the entire federal government, as well as state and local governments. These recommendations were developed within the framework of a number of overarching questions which emerged from testimony at the Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields.

Recommendations

When environmental justice posited the notion that "people must speak for themselves" about an environment defined as the place where "we live, where we work, and where we play," it established a framework for functionally bridging the key components of emerging environmental policy, i.e., ecosystem management and community-based environmental protection, equal protection, pollution prevention, sound science, programmatic integration, and accountability to the public. This fact needs to be elevated as a major tenet of emerging environmental policy. Environmental justice is predicated upon the fact that the health of the members of a community, both individually and collectively, is a product of physical, social, cultural, and spiritual factors. It provides a key to understanding an integrative environmental policy which treats our common ecosystem as the basis for all life (human and non-human) and activity (economic and otherwise).

Recommendation highlights include:

I. Public Participation and Community Vision

1. Informed and Empowered Community Involvement

- ☐ *Support sustained and structured public dialogue on brownfields and environmental justice on all levels.*
- ☐ *Institute policies and performance measures which encourage program personnel and policy makers to spend substantive time in neighborhoods as a regular part of their work so that there is understanding of real problems, concerns, and aspirations of community residents.*

2. Community Vision/Comprehensive Community Based Planning

- ☐ *Acknowledge community-based planning as a critical methodology for environmental protection and promote its use both inside and outside the agency*
- ☐ *Support the development of tools and expertise for community-based planning, including the highly significant growth of "spontaneous" interest among community groups in community mapping*

3. Role and Participation of Youth

- ☐ *Form the requisite partnerships both inside and outside of government to better understand and address urban revitalization/brownfields issues of concern to youth*
- ☐ *Through the Brownfields initiative, integrate environmental activities and career development with targeted environmental justice and urban revitalization strategies.*

II. Key Issue Areas

4. Equal Protection

- ☐ *Implement targeted initiatives in highly impacted areas to ensure meaningful community participation and accountability, strengthen data gathering, ensure equal enforcement and compliance activity, and build private and public partnerships*
- ☐ *Work with other federal agencies, state, local and tribal governments to ensure equal protection of the law in related areas such as community reinvestment, fair housing, equal business opportunity, financing, and health protection.*

5. Public Health, Environmental Standards, and Liability

- ☐ *Establish mechanisms which ensure a primary role for impacted communities in the decision making process over public health and environmental protection issues*
- ☐ *Strengthen right-to-know, enforcement and compliance activity in impacted communities*
- ☐ *Support several brownfields projects where the key component is assessment of health risks on a community-wide basis*

6. Job Creation, Training, and Career Development

- ☐ *Make use of the momentum generated by the brownfields issue and provide leadership in building partnerships and coalitions which result in locally based job creation, entrepreneurial development, and sustainable careers*
- ☐ *Support efforts to ensure worker health and safety*

7. Land Use

- ☐ *Specific recommendations to come*

III. Public and Private Sector Partnerships

8. Community/Private Sector Partnerships

- ☐ *Explore the development of a brownfields grant program which provides funds directly to community groups in partnership with locally based non-governmental institutions*
- ☐ *Convene a summit meeting of all stakeholders working on or affected by brownfields projects as an opportunity to bring together all parties to discuss critical issues, craft unified strategies, and determine actions for follow-up.*

9. Local, State, Tribal, and Territorial Government

- ☐ *Specific recommendations to come*

10. Federal Interagency Cooperation, Programmatic Integration, and Government Reinvention

- *Provide opportunities for communities to systematically engage EPA and other federal around ways in which federal programs around ways by which they can coordinate programs, pool resources and tap expertise*

Conclusion

The brownfields debate reveals issues of civilizational dimensions. As we look to the 21st century, what endeavor could possibly be more eminently worthy and necessary; more obviously logical and deserving of our national attention, expertise, and resources; or more meaningful and spiritually nourishing than that of revitalizing America's urban areas and ensuring healthy and sustainable communities--both urban and rural? A challenge so great as this cannot be met without compelling visions of what constitutes healthy and sustainable communities. We have found that such visions already exist in highly coherent and vibrant ways within many communities across the nation.

The nation is locked within the throes of a set of transitions which are demographic, economic, environmental, technological, social, cultural, linguistic, generational, and indeed spiritual in nature. Urban revitalization and brownfields offer an opportunity to shape new policy, programs, partnerships, and pilot projects which rise to the challenge of the cross-cutting issues raised in this report. The Subcommittee continues to pose these questions:

- Can this process begin to set a direction capable of crystallizing a unifying and cross-cutting vision within the federal government to serve as an anchor for the mobilization of society's resources--both public and private?
- Can the restoration of the physical environment in America's cities become the anchoring point for economic, social, cultural, and spiritual renewal and thus provide the basis for a embarking upon a new and ennobling national mission?

If the brownfields issue is nothing else, it was an opportunity for community groups to engage government, developers, and other stakeholders around their vision of what healthy and sustainable communities are. The stakes cannot be greater. EPA must begin to think about a new framework which will address the issues raised through the Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields. The hallmark of that process must be informed and empowered community involvement. Likewise, all agencies in the federal government should consider these cross-cutting issues and begin to shape coordinated and integrative strategies.

The NEJAC Subcommittee on Waste and Facility Siting believes that a process has been started by which environmental justice advocates and impacted communities have changed the operative definition of the term "brownfields." This already has translated into some significant changes in the way in which EPA implements the Brownfields Initiative. We hope to engage a process which ultimately will coalesce a new type of environmental and social policy capable of meeting the challenges of revitalizing urban America and restoring ecological balance to the nation. This was our intent. Anything less would have amounted to a failure of leadership, a breaking of faith with communities, and acquiescence to business as usual.

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE, URBAN REVITALIZATION, AND BROWNFIELDS:

THE SEARCH FOR AUTHENTIC SIGNS OF HOPE

*The vision of environmental justice is the development of a holistic, bottomup, community-based, multi-issue, cross-cutting, and integrative unifying paradigm for achieving healthy and sustainable communities--both urban and rural. In the context of ecological peril, economic dysfunctionality, infrastructure decay, racial polarization, social turmoil, cultural disorientation, and spiritual malaise which grips urban America at the end of the 20th century, environmental justice is indeed a much needed breath of fresh air. Tragically, many positive developments have been rendered invisible behind the curtain of a sensationalism-oriented mass media. However, there is no denying that great resilience exists in the economic, cultural, and spiritual life of America's communities. There are many stellar accomplishments, entrepreneurial successes, and significant victories--both big and small. Hence, an abiding goal of the Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields was **the constant search for authentic signs of hope**.*

BACKGROUND

In 1995, the National Environmental Justice Advisory Council (NEJAC) Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) co-sponsored a series of public hearings entitled "Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities." NEJAC is the formal advisory committee convened by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to provide advice on issues of environmental justice. It consists of grassroots leaders from impacted communities, environmental justice scholars and advocates, and representatives from a broad range of stakeholder groups.¹

The Public Dialogues were held in Boston, Massachusetts; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Detroit, Michigan; Oakland, California; and Atlanta, Georgia. They were intended to provide, for the first time, an opportunity for EPA environmental justice advocates and residents of impacted communities to **systematically contribute input** regarding issues related to EPA's Brownfields Economic Redevelopment Initiative. Over 500 persons from community groups, government agencies, faith groups, labor organizations, philanthropies, universities, banks, businesses, and other institutions participated in a "systematic attempt to stimulate a new and vigorous public discourse about developing strategies, partnerships, models, and projects for ensuring healthy and sustainable communities in America's urban centers and their importance to the nation's environmental and economic future."

¹ Stakeholder groups include academia, industry, community groups, various non-governmental organizations, state and local governments, tribal organizations, and environmental organizations. In 1994-95, NEJAC consisted of four subcommittees: Health and Research, Enforcement, Public Participation and Accountability, and Waste and Facility Siting. In December 1995, two new subcommittees, International and Indigenous Peoples, were established. NEJAC is chaired by Mr. Richard Moore, Southwest Network for Environmental and Economic Justice.

Representatives from 15 federal agencies ², as well state and local governments, participated in the meetings. See the section entitled, "Summary of Each Brownfields Dialogue," for specific information about the meetings.

The NEJAC Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee (hereafter referred to as Subcommittee) is sponsored by EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER). OSWER was the first program office within the federal government to develop a comprehensive environmental justice strategy. Under the leadership of Assistant Administrator Elliot P. Laws, OSWER began the process of developing its environmental justice strategy prior to the signing by President Clinton of Executive Order 12898.³

The Subcommittee offered substantial input to the finalization of the OSWER *Environmental Justice Action Agenda*, published in June 1995. Recognizing OSWER's environmental justice strategy as a "living document," the Subcommittee adopted a Ten-Point Implementation Framework for OSWER's action agenda. Many of these implementation points are directly relevant to the Subcommittee's approach to its work around the EPA's Brownfields action agenda. In its report to the NEJAC at the meeting held October 27, 1994 in Dulles, Virginia, the Subcommittee stated:

[it] recognizes the cross-cutting nature of environmental justice and therefore sees the necessary limitations of program-specific, or even agency-specific, environmental justice strategies. However, environmental justice will be rendered meaningless if it is not actively integrated into all EPA programs and other agencies within the federal

Members of the NEJAC Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee

Charles Lee, *United Church of Christ Commission for Racial Justice* (Chair)
Sue Briggum, *WMX Technologies*
Teresa Cordova, *University of New Mexico/Albuquerque*
Don Elisburg, *Laborers International Union*
Tom Goldtooth, *Indigenous Environmental Network*
Michael Guerrero, *SouthWest Organizing Project*
Tom Kennedy, *Association of State and Territorial Solid Waste Management Officials*
David Hahn-Baker, *Inside/Outside Political Consultants*
Lillian Kawasaki, *City of Los Angeles Environmental Affairs Department*
Scott Morrison, *Choctaws for Democracy*
Jon Sesso, *Silver Bow/Butte Planning Department*
Lenny Siegel, *Pacific Studies Center*
Connie Tucker, *Southern Organizing Committee for Economic and Social Justice*
Nathalie Walker, *Sierra Club Legal Defense Fund*

Jan Young serves as the *EPA Designated Federal Official (DFO)*

² Federal agencies represented were EPA, U.S. Department of Transportation, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Department of Energy, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, U.S. Department of Justice, U.S. Department of Labor, U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Forestry Service, and National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

³ See *OSWER Environmental Justice Action Agenda* and *OSWER Environmental Justice Accomplishments Report*.

government. The Subcommittee set forth the challenge of attempting to offer a vision for an environmental protection policy in the 21st century--especially as it relates to major societal issues of our times. This compels a thoughtful critique of traditional policy constructs and program demarcations. Hence, the Subcommittee sees great value in effective usage of the Presidential Executive Order 12898 on environmental justice. Recognizing OSWER's unique position as the first program office to develop such a strategy, the Subcommittee urges OSWER to serve as a catalyst for such integration.

The following points, outlined in the framework are directly relevant to the Brownfields Initiative:

- Comprehensive and interactive approaches to communications, outreach and public participation is a **hub** of environmental justice strategy implementation.
- Ensuring culturally diverse and community-driven training of agency personnel is critical to the agency's ability to serve all groups in an increasingly multiracial and multicultural society.
- Efforts must continue to build infrastructure for achieving environmental justice within OSWER, other related EPA programs, federal agencies, partnerships with state, local and tribal governments, community groups, and other stakeholders.⁴
- An integrative Environmental Justice Model Demonstration Program approach should be used as the **template** for project implementation⁵.

EPA defines brownfields as "abandoned, idled, or under-used industrial and commercial facilities where expansion or redevelopment is complicated by real or perceived environmental contamination." In January 1995, Administrator Carol Browner announced that EPA will fund 50 pilot projects across the country as part of its Brownfields Economic Redevelopment Initiative.

[Quote from Browner statement]

At the NEJAC meeting held in Atlanta, Georgia, January 17 through 19, 1995 the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee was briefed by Mr. Timothy Fields, OSWER Deputy Assistant Administrator, about the agency's efforts to address issues related to the Brownfields Initiative, as well as the status of

⁴ Infrastructure includes "living" development of knowledge base, processes and protocols developed mutually by stakeholder groups in the process of addressing and resolving concrete issues.

⁵ A list originally was submitted to EPA by the Environmental Justice/Community Issues Working Group of the National Advisory Council on Environmental Policy and Technology (NACEPT) Superfund Evaluation Committee (1993). The list included integration of the following: (a) formulation of policy and regulatory frameworks; (b) development of analytical tools, indicators, and protocols for environmental justice; (c) community-wide, multi-media, targeted geographic initiatives; (d) public health concerns of impacted communities and multiple, cumulative and synergistic risk; (e) built-in mechanisms for community participation and empowerment; (f) federal interagency cooperation; (g) economic redevelopment and sustainable community; (h) enhancement of community user-friendly pollution prevention and technology diffusion programs; (i) strategies for stakeholder involvement including labor, business, non-profit, philanthropic, and other institutional partners; and (j) ongoing evaluation, coordination and integration of existing pilots and programs.

the OSWER environmental justice action agenda.

Concerns were raised by members of the public about the Brownfields Initiative, i.e., whether or not the brownfields issue was a "smoke screen" for gutting cleanup standards, environmental regulations, and liability safeguards. Over the past three years, substantial national momentum had been building related to the brownfields concept. For example, in 1995 the U.S. Conference of Mayors designated brownfields as its No. 1 environmental priority.⁶ To a large extent, public policy related to the brownfields issue revolved around removing barriers to real estate and investment transactions at sites where there exist toxic contamination concerns--real or perceived.

From the point of view of the Subcommittee and environmental justice advocates, EPA had received virtually no meaningful input from environmental justice advocates or residents from impacted communities about the brownfields initiative. By 1994, EPA had initiated an environmental justice outreach and minority worker training program at the Cuyahoga County Community College in Cleveland, Ohio, which is linked to the Brownfields Pilot in Cuyahoga County. However, in light of the breadth of the Brownfields issue, the Subcommittee clearly felt the effort did not accomplish environment justice. As the Subcommittee noted, "EPA's brownfields locomotive left the station without a major group of passengers." No place at the table existed for environmental justice experts and residents from communities with large numbers of potential sites. Nor were there the mechanisms to ensure such dialogue. At the same time, there was hope that the Brownfields Initiative could provide an opportunity to:

- stem the ecologically untenable, environmentally damaging, socially costly, and racially divisive phenomenon of urban sprawl and greenfields development
- provide focus to a problem which by its very nature is inextricably linked to environmental justice, for example, the physical deterioration of the nation's urban areas
- allow communities to offer their vision of what redevelopment should look like
- apply environmental justice principles to the development of a new generation of environmental policy capable of meeting complex challenges such as brownfields and the existence of a severe crisis in urban America
- bring greater awareness and opportunities for building partnerships between EPA, communities and other stakeholders.

To address the concerns and hopes articulated at the January 1995 meeting, NEJAC endorsed the Subcommittee's proposal to host a series of public hearings on EPA's Brownfields Economic Redevelopment Initiative. EPA committed itself to supporting a sustained dialogue on brownfields and environmental justice issues.

⁶ Conference name, date, and location where US Conference of Mayors adopted resolution.

APPLICATION OF NEJAC PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MODEL

The planning and implementation of the Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields (hereafter referred to as Public Dialogues) attempted to "model" new forms of public participation by taking key elements of the Public Participation Model developed in October 1994 by the NEJAC Public Participation and Accountability Subcommittee. These included:

- Up-front community involvement in planning, preparation, and issue definition
- Two-way focused interactive dialogue on a given set of issues
- Holding fact-finding meetings in communities other than Washington, D.C.
- Recognition of community history which includes language, culture, and experience
- Exploring ways to make use of non-governmental vehicles for public participation, such as NEJAC
- Finding new ways of building partnerships between communities, government, and other stakeholders
- Leaving a body of information and contacts within communities
- Developing models which can be replicated ⁷
- Most importantly, an explicitly stated intention of the host agency to demonstrate that it listened to the community.

The Public Dialogues sought to provide an opportunity for environmental justice advocates and community-based groups in impacted areas (1) to become a visible and meaningful part of an already existing national discourse on issues related to brownfields hazardous site remediation and economic redevelopment, (2) to reshape in substantive ways the development of EPA's Brownfields Initiative, and (3) add a new dimension to public policy discourse on brownfields and urban redevelopment. At the point that the brownfields issue came to NEJAC's attention, it was almost completely dominated by a "developer-driven model." Most if not all public policy discussion about brownfields was shaped by a desire to effect changes in legislation, regulatory standards, and liability provisions to meet the concerns of prospective investors and developers. Most people in potentially impacted communities never heard the term "brownfields," ⁸ NEJAC found that given the opportunity to define the issues

⁷ A forthcoming series of regional fact-finding public meetings, organized by the National Academy of Science/Institute of Medicine to examine environmental justice research, education, and public needs, is being modeled on the NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields.

⁸ Having no context within which to understand it, the term "brownfields" was sometimes interpreted to mean "fields where 'brown' people live." The intent of the term, as it evolved, was to articulate a visual impact of pollution versus "greenfields," such as forests and pastures. Such cognitive dissonance illuminates some obvious cultural sensitivities surrounding issues of race, urban development, and gentrification.

surrounding brownfields, these communities would do so in very different ways.

The Public Dialogues sought to be community-driven in terms of their planning, preparation, structure, and execution. They proceeded from the premise that a strong sense of collective concerns and aspirations already existed within many communities. These comprise highly coherent and compelling visions of healthy and sustainable communities. Some communities have engaged in highly sophisticated community-based planning and visioning processes. The Public Dialogues were structured into two tiers. First, communities articulated their concerns about the brownfields initiative and their visions for achieving healthy and sustainable communities. Second, representatives of government agencies, as well as key social institutions such as labor, faith groups, universities, philanthropies, and business organizations, were asked to address the role they can play in helping to make the community's vision a reality.

The Public Dialogues abide by the basic environmental justice tenet that " *people must speak for themselves*."⁹ Therefore, in an effort to uphold the integrity of the testimony presented, the Subcommittee believes that to the degree possible, any resulting report would retain the voice of the speakers. To reduce the community presentations to the language of "techno-speak" effectively would have sanitized their message, rendered them devoid of conviction, and destroyed their interest. This would do disservice to community members who went to great efforts to participate. Perhaps more than any other single factor, the question of whose language is used determines whether or not a process is truly community-driven. To capture the community message therefore, community presentations are quoted extensively throughout this report. Although the report is long, the Subcommittee strongly urges readers to respect the community members by taking the time to seriously read their statements. This is necessary to work towards bridging the huge disconnect now existing between the public, government bureaucracy, and the decision-making process. In many ways, this report is also a collection of stories and perspectives. As such, it builds upon a rich oral tradition in communities and among peoples of color.

In conclusion, by structuring the Public Dialogues to model new forms of public participation, the Subcommittee was intent on putting the discourse about Brownfields issues into a context that the community both defines and articulates.

[Insert Statement of Purpose and Objectives of Public Dialogues]

⁹ Alston, Dana (Ed.). *We Speak for Ourselves: Social Justice and Environment*. Washington, DC: The Panos Institute, December 1990.

KEY QUESTIONS IN THE BROWNFIELDS DEBATE

[South Central Los Angeles] is a community characterized by tons and tons of vacant lots, some of them left as a result of the 1992 rebellion. Others have existed for twenty and thirty years. My community also is characterized by auto shops, paints shops, plating companies, radiator companies, and others--some of which are unpermitted uses within our residential community. Our community suffers one of the highest incidence of asthma throughout California. It sits next to the largest industrial base in this country called the Alameda Corridor. The neighborhood has pretty much been neglected by the city of Los Angeles in terms street repairs, storm drainage cleaning, and so forth.

We see the brownfields initiative as a real opportunity to change some of what exists in our community. A lot of sites have been left behind by owners who could not free up or sell land because of contamination--whether they were once gas stations or whatever. So we see ourselves losing a lot of potential for good, clean, safe, and sound development.

I want to talk about the Lancer site--[a defeated municipal waste incinerator now being turned into a "green" industrial development park, with job training and childcare]--planned for our neighborhood. We feel such sites have a potential for being developed in our community. It sits in the Alameda industrial corridor, and at the same time right across the street from our residential housing. It has train tracks running on both sides. In the same area we have scrap metal places, oil processing companies, and other polluting uses that have existed in the neighborhood for many, many years. So we see it as a potential for development.

We also have concerns about some brownfields initiatives. Even when one is something we tend to like, we feel the prospective purchaser agreements must have more teeth and accountability [to the community]. We feel strongly that community participation must be involved. We would never like to see some-thing cleaned up and then a liquor store put in my community. We must have a say on the front-end as to what kind of development follows cleanup.

In terms of jobs and job training, people in my community have strong concerns about who benefits from job [opportunities]. There is justifiably much discussion about military conversion, aerospace conversion, and conversion jobs. But how does the community where people have a lack of skills get involved in the discussion? We want to be able to bring the nonworking and underemployed into the discussion. These are some of the concerns we would like to see addressed.

*Robin Canon, Concerned Citizens of
South Central Los Angeles¹⁰*

¹⁰ Transcript: NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities. Oakland, California (July 18, 1995) pp. 44-47.

The concept of "brownfields" emerged as a natural outgrowth of groups and individuals seeking to reverse the tide of urban decay. One impediment which readily presented itself to such groups, including those seeking to build low-income housing for the homeless, was the environmental liability issues associated with abandoned commercial and industrial properties. Such properties are abundantly located in virtually every "other side of the tracks" community in America's urban areas. The Northeast/Midwest Institute¹¹ coined the term "brownfields" to describe this phenomenon. While not all brownfields are located in urban areas, the environmental policy and sociological context of the brownfields problem is decidedly urban in character.

The EPA Brownfields Economic Redevelopment Initiative is a program which seeks to find ways to remove barriers to the return to beneficial reuse of properties whose redevelopment is complicated by environmental contamination--whether real or perceived. EPA's expressed hope is that these sites can become a source of jobs and help in restoring much depleted tax bases. The U.S. General Accounting Office has estimated that such sites could number as many 450,000 across the country.¹² They could be an abandoned and/or underutilized warehouse, gas station, or factory. For example, Eugenio Maria de Hostos Community College houses 5,500 students and provides a major institutional anchor for the South Bronx, New York. It was founded during the 1960's in an abandoned tire factory. Today, the facility would be classified as a successfully redeveloped brownfields site. In Oakland, California, Preservation Park--a renovated development which houses non-profit organizations--stands as a symbol of hope and renewal in the midst of urban deterioration. It too would be classified as a successfully redeveloped brownfields site.

In a narrow sense, EPA's Brownfields Initiative refers to a specific agency effort focusing on finding ways to remove items which are viewed as obstacles to investment by prospective lenders and developers. Many concerns over the specific elements of that program, including the need for meaningful community involvement, environmental health considerations, job creation and training, federal interagency cooperation, public/private partnerships, and others began to surface. In order to fully address these important issues, they must be considered within a larger context.

In a larger sense, the brownfields issue propelled to national prominence the issues related to "***brownfields versus greenfields***" development. If society cannot find ways of revitalizing urban areas, development necessarily encroaches more and more on the nonrenewable resource of pristine natural space. The emergence of the brownfields issue signals recognition of the ecologically untenable nature of "greenfields" development or urban sprawl. A coalition which includes Bank of America, Greenbelt Alliance, Low-Income Housing Fund, and the Resources Agency of California, recently published *Beyond Sprawl: New Patterns of Growth to Fit the New California*. It concluded that the "acceleration of sprawl has surfaced enormous social, environmental, and economic costs, which until now have been hidden, ignored, or quietly borne by society. The burden of these costs is becoming very clear. Businesses suffer from higher costs, a loss in worker productivity, and underutilized investments in

¹¹ The Northeast/Midwest Institute, formed in [date], is an outgrowth of the Northeast/Midwest Coalition, which consisted of Congressional representatives of "rust-belt" cities. [Provide details of work which led to brownfields concept.]

¹² U.S. General Accounting Office. [Title of report, publication information, and date.]

older communities." ¹³

The brownfields issue is yet another aspect of an intensifying set of systemic problems related to residential segregation, disinvestment of inner-city areas, urban sprawl, degradation of the urban environment, and the polarization between urban and non-urban communities along lines of age, life style, race, socioeconomic status, and other spatially-related social divisions. These are endemic to a severe crisis--environmentally, economically, socially, culturally, and otherwise--in urban America. Environmental justice encompasses very clearly the inextricable linkage between these issues. The Subcommittee emphatically asserts that all stakeholder groups must recognize that the inescapable context for discussion of the brownfields issue is environmental justice and urban revitalization.

The following summarizes some of these pressing issues related to urban revitalization, brownfields, and the achievement of truly healthy and sustainable communities--both urban and rural. The Subcommittee proceeds from the recognition that one of the nation's most pressing environmental problems is the state of its cities. This crisis is fundamentally an ecological one--in both the natural and the human sense. ¹⁴ While one may choose to deny their existence, it is increasingly evident that they cannot be ignored.

I. Understanding the Nature of the Urban Environment

The profusion of abandoned and/or contaminated industrial and commercial sites is a legacy of industrialization and patterns of growth which foster social decay by treating land, natural resources, communities, and populations as expendable and disposable commodities. Any redevelopment strategy must be thoroughly examined to ascertain its guiding vision and potential pitfalls. It must not be the vehicle for development of yet another generation of hazardous sites. It must not be the instrument of ecologically-unsustainable or socially-unjust development.

Based on environmental justice values, the Subcommittee defines the environment as " ***the place where we live, where we work, and where we play***." ¹⁵ This necessitates recognition of an urban ecosystem as consisting of four environments: ***natural, built, social, and cultural/spiritual***. All four must be addressed in order to achieve healthy and sustainable communities. Hence, the debate over cleanup standards, environmental regulations, and liability safeguards must proceed from a realistic understanding of the environmental health and safety characteristics of the urban environment. The urban ecosystem shows clearly the relationship of these four environments, and the importance of the fourth environment--Cultural/Spiritual--to provide an understanding of shared values to achieve healthy environments and sustainable communities.

The characteristics of the urban environment include: (1) an oversaturation of communities with multiple sources of environmental pollution in highly congested spaces, (2) the co-existence of

¹³ Bank of America, et.al. *Beyond Sprawl: New Patterns of Growth to Fit the New California*. [Publication date.]

¹⁴ Bullard, Robert D., J Eugene Grigsby III and Charles Lee (Ed.) *Residential Apartheid: The American Legacy*. Los Angeles: UCLA Center for Afro-American Studies, 1994.

¹⁵ Gauna, Jeane. Presentation to National Advisory Committee, First National People of Color Environmental Leadership Summit. Washington, DC, May 20, 1991.

residential and industrial sites as a result of imprudent land use decisions, (3) a lack of documentation of most environmental health risks in urban communities, (4) the existence of as yet not understood effects of multiple, cumulative, and synergistic risks, (5) the virtual nonexistence of environmental enforcement and compliance activity, (6) the lack of health services and adequate information on environmental risks, (7) the severe decay in the infrastructure, and (8) a high degree of social alienation and decay caused by living in degraded physical environments.

II. The Ecological Importance of Urban Areas

In choosing to concentrate on urban revitalization as a major focus, the Subcommittee underscores the societal and ecological importance of cities. Besides being the centers of economic, technological, educational, and cultural activity in an increasingly multiracial United States, urban environments pose vastly important opportunities for advancing human understanding of environmental protection needs for the 21st century. Solving these questions will have enormous implications for habitat development not only for the United States but also a shrinking and increasingly interdependent world.

The paradox that faces us at the end of the 20th century is that urban areas are ecologically the most efficient forms of human spatial organization, while at the same time they are among the most polluted. The urban areas present pressing challenges on the cutting edge of environmental protection and sustainable development such as multiple, cumulative, and synergistic risks; pollution prevention; creation of environmentally-related jobs; development of "green," non-polluting, and environmentally restorative urban development; and building of mass transit and ecologically-beneficial infrastructures; as well as a host of other issues.

Given the massive scale of human development, these are challenges the nation cannot afford to ignore. Urban environmental issues must be addressed from the perspective of their natural ecosystems (for example, water sheds, air sheds, etc.) and their social ecosystems (for example, neighborhoods, metropolitan areas, regions, etc). As exemplified by the brownfields versus greenfields development debate, the course of development in urban areas has enormous impacts for the past, present, and future ecological integrity of rural areas.

The Subcommittee believes that an affirmative commitment to urban revitalization will lead to a necessary evaluation of traditional social policy and value systems. At this point, the nation lacks the tools to measure the true costs--economic, environmental, social, and spiritual--of the untenable and unsustainable treatment of goods, communities, and population as expendable and disposable commodities. The Subcommittee attests to a critical need for the nation to embrace the concepts of reuse, recycling, renewal, revitalization, and rebirth. The ecological crisis exemplified by the state of the urban environment offers such an opportunity.

III. Reframing the Urban/Rural Dichotomy

The Subcommittee argues that only through an affirmative declaration of the importance of the urban environment can the nation begin to bridge the dichotomy between urban and rural areas. One context for understanding the brownfields issue is the issue of urbanization. Urbanization refers to the formation, growth, and transformation of human communities as centers of industrial, commercial, social, and cultural activity. From an ecological perspective, this affects both urban and rural areas in an interdependent manner. A multiplicity of development issues such as residential patterns, transportation policy, the flow of capital, and others profoundly affect patterns of growth. Environmental justice recognizes the inextricable linkages between these as economic, environmental,

and social issues.

Twentieth century human development is characterized by the interrelated twin phenomena of industrialization and urbanization.¹⁶ The emergence of centers of industrial activity always gave rise to a corresponding appearance of proximate population centers. Historically, society has been deficient in its attention to issues surrounding such "spontaneously" developing communities.¹⁷ Urbanization refers not merely to events in the Northern "rust-belt" cities; the phenomenon is taking place along the U.S.-Mexico border, in the form of a mindless urban sprawl called "colonias." We need to develop models which unify rather than pit urban versus non-urban concerns. The urban sprawl issue forces us to envision new ecological relationships which are metropolitan, regional, national, and global.

By its very nature, the brownfields issue forces us to look at an entire community as we try to balance environmental concerns and economic possibilities. In many cases, it becomes an ideal vehicle for envisioning the future in new ways. A prime example is the regional brownfields perspective emerging in the San Francisco Bay area through the Urban Habitat Program and the local Public Dialogue participants. Their efforts build upon years of work on military base closure and conversion activity. "We are looking at environmental justice in a regional context. It is a vision of making links between different communities across the region with common perspectives of how we look at social issues as well as environmental issues. Based upon that we seek to develop strategies that help to address the polarization between suburban and inner city areas. Working on brownfields gives us an opportunity to make this tangible."¹⁸

IV. Confronting the Issue of Race and Class

Embedded into America's industrial legacy are a host of issues related to race and class. The nation cannot ignore the very obvious and central place that issues of race occupy in the daily lives of all its citizens. There exists a "great racial divide" in American society. This divide is manifest through our treatment of issues related to urban America.

No more than six months after the historic First National People of Color Environmental Leadership Summit held in 1991, the largest urban disturbance in American history took place in South Central Los

¹⁶ Nothing better illustrates the severity of this issue than the following: As of 1994, studies suggest that human activity now transforms the earth's surface at a rate that exceeds natural geological processes. It is estimated that homo sapiens move an average of seven tons of earth a year for every man, woman, and child on the planet. See Monastersky, Richard. "Earthmovers: Humans take their place alongside wind, water, and ice." *Science News*, Vol. 146, December 24 & 31, 1994, pp. 432-433.

¹⁷ For example, the field of public health began in the 1800's as a social movement to protest the unsanitary conditions of worker communities during the Industrial Revolution.

¹⁸ Anthony, Carl. Statement at the NEJAC Public Dialogue on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields, Oakland, California (July 18, 1995), transcript pp 40-41. A similar vision and strategy--albeit in a different context--is being by implemented by the Catholic Archdiocese of Cleveland, Ohio through its project on urban sprawl.

Angeles. Events in Los Angeles raised the question of how long the "quiet riots" ¹⁹ (a phrase drawn from the title of a 20-year retrospective to the 1968 Kerner Commission Report) in America's central cities will continue to remain unheard. Issues at the heart of these "quiet riots" are inextricably linked to environmental justice and brownfields, such as residential segregation, economic disinvestment, environmental pollution, inaccessibility to health care, educational disadvantage, lack of employment opportunity, and the inextricable link between living in degraded physical environments, alienation, and destructive violence.

All policy makers must find every opportunity to forthrightly confront issues of race and class in American society. Not to do so is shortsighted for the following reasons: (1) Race is a matter at the heart of many issues related to urban America and we as a nation must learn how to talk about it in constructive ways; (2) Healthy and sustainable communities cannot be achieved without fully understanding how racism seriously devalues communities; (3) American society in the 21st century will be increasingly multiracial and multicultural. Dramatic demographic shifts are taking place. The choices we make today will decide whether or not 21st-century America will witness a social turmoil or a multiracial and multicultural renaissance where the gifts of all peoples can flourish. ²⁰ It is imperative that guidance be provided--particularly to our youth who will inherit the consequences of choices we make today--to reinforce a sense of purpose for a common future and to focus them towards a goal of narrowing and eliminating racial divisions.

V. Urban Revitalization and Community-Driven Models of Redevelopment

Before the Public Dialogues, brownfields issues have been shaped largely by a developer-driven model, where public policy is geared towards addressing the concerns of prospective investors and developers. The Subcommittee sought to introduce a Community-Based Planning Model, which is rooted in communities and builds upon their concerns, aspirations and visions. These include public health, jobs and businesses which benefit the community, pollution prevention, and decent housing. Goals for an authentic community-based planning process involve (1) community control, (2) community involvement, and (3) community capacity.

In the Subcommittee's view, "urban revitalization" is very different from "urban redevelopment." The two concepts are not synonymous and should not be confused with each other. Urban revitalization is a bottomup process. It proceeds from a community-based vision of its needs and aspirations and seeks to build capacity, build partnerships, and mobilize resources to make the vision a reality. Governments

¹⁹ Harris, Fred and Roger Wilkins (Ed.) *Quiet Riots: Race and Poverty in the United States*. New York: Pantheon Books, 1988

²⁰ Please see Hayes-Bautista, David E., Werner O. Schink, and Jorge Chapa. *The Burden of Support: Young Latinos in an Aging Society*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1988.; "America's Changing Colors: What will the U.S. be like when whites are no longer the majority?" Cover Story, *Time Magazine* (April 9, 1990) pp. 28-31.; United Church of Christ. "A Pronouncement on Calling the United Church of Christ To Be A Multiracial and Multicultural Church," Adopted by the United Church of Christ 19th General Synod (St. Louis, Missouri) July 15-20, 1993.

must not simply view communities as an assortment of problems but also as a collection of assets. Social scientists and practitioners have already compiled methodologies to apply community planning models.²¹

There must be opportunities for full articulation of the importance of public participation in brownfields issue. While public participation is cross-cutting in nature, its meaning is shaped within the context of concrete issues. It is not merely a set of mechanical prescriptions but a process of bottom-up engagement that is "living." With regards to brownfields and the future of urban America, Public Dialogue participants were emphatic that **"without meaningful community involvement, urban revitalization simply becomes urban redevelopment."**

VI. Community Mapping and Community-Based Environmental Protection

Exciting new tools exist for communities to participate in conducting environmental inventories and environmental mapping. These include right-to-Know information, electronic mapping systems like LandView II, more sophisticated geographic information systems (GIS), and others. It is critical that these tools be made available to communities.

There appears to be an ever-expanding number of community groups who are expressing an interest in mapping one's own community. These include organizations concerned about environmental justice, environmental and public health, community planning and development, and other issues related to achieving healthy and sustainable communities. This "spontaneous" development is a matter of no small consequence.

Recent projects by the Asian Pacific Environmental Network (Laotian girls mapping their Richmond, California neighborhood), Tucsonians for a Clean Environment (development in Southside Tucson, Arizona), Environmental Health Coalition, (chemical hazards in mixed-use Barrio Logan community in San Diego, California),²² worker training projects such as Southwest Network for Environmental and Economic Justice, Asian Pacific Environmental Network, University of California/Berkeley, and the University of Massachusetts/Lowell, incorporate community mapping as an important element. One of

²¹ Please see Kretzmann, John P. and John L. McKnight. *Building Communities from the Inside Out: A Path Toward Finding and Mobilizing A Community's Assets* (Chicago: ACTA Publications, 1993). The authors summarize the following steps: (1) Mapping completely the capacities and assets of individuals, citizens' associations, and local institutions; (2) Building relationships among local assets for mutually beneficial problem-solving within the community; (3) Mobilizing the community's assets fully for economic development and information sharing purposes; (4) Convening as broadly representative a group as possible for the purposes of building a community vision and plan; and (5) Leveraging activities, investments and resources from outside the community to support asset-based, locally-defined development.

²² Takvorian, Diane (Ed.) *Toxic Free Neighborhoods Community Planning Guide*. San Diego: Environmental Health Coalition, 1993.

the most powerful elements of the landmark United Church of Christ Commission for Racial Justice report *Toxic Wastes and Race in the United States*²³ was its maps. Virtually every proposal on empowerment zones and brownfields use mapping.

Projects with more resources such as the Hunter College/Greenpoint-Williamsburg Environmental Benefits Program have done true computer-based GIS projects. Eugenio Maria de Hostos Community College in the South Bronx is undertaking a major community-university partnership project in this area. The San Francisco-based Urban Habitat Program has done mapping of military toxic waste sites and the impact of defense base closures in East Bay Flatlands areas.²⁴ Several EPA regional offices have undertaken GIS studies. For example, EPA Region III is utilizing GIS in Chester, Pennsylvania and at the Portsmouth, Virginia Superfund site. In varying degrees of complexity, these projects offer readily applicable tools for conducting community mapping projects.

LandView II--an electronic atlas with the ability to do thematic mapping--is a unique electronic tool which can be the hub of a virtual revolution in community mapping. As described by EPA, LandView II is an innovative community right-to-know software tool. In the form of an electronic atlas, published on CD-ROM discs, LandView can be used on standard personal computers. While LandView lends itself to a myriad of applications, its greatest significance lies in its useability and adaptability by communities. LandView is the product of a collaboration among EPA, the Bureau of the Census, and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)."²⁵ As the product of a multi-agency cooperative effort which was developed with substantial community input, LandView bespeaks of what government should be doing in terms of provide tools that can empower the public.

Mapping offers us an entirely new way of looking at and thinking about the world. The Subcommittee incorporated demonstrations of LandView II as a major part of the Public Dialogues. Their universally positive reception underscored the Subcommittee's belief that mapping can be a highly empowering scoping, documentation, and planning tool. Such tools give a community the ability to visualize and "know" itself. A principal tenet of community-based planning is the thesis that a community which has a strong sense of itself is capable of being more self-defined, self-directed, and self-controlled, and thus more capable of shaping its own future.²⁶

Beside its implications for community-based planning, community mapping will be critical to addressing issues of multiple, cumulative, and synergistic risk. By fully engaging the community, these tools provide a way to begin addressing data gap problems in oversaturated urban communities where virtually none of the environmental health risks have yet to be documented. Thus, community mapping provides a key link to making progress on issues such as cumulative risk and the concept of establishing

²³ United Church of Christ Commission for Racial Justice. *Toxic Wastes and Race in the United States: A National Report on the Racial and Socioeconomic Characteristics of Communities Surrounding Hazardous Waste Sites*. New York: United Church of Christ, 1987.

²⁴ Matsuoka, Martha. *Reintegrating the Flatlands: A Regional Framework for Military Base Conversion in the San Francisco Bay Area*. San Francisco: Urban Habitat Program, April 1995.

²⁵ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. LandView II Factsheet (#550-F-95-003), April 1995.

²⁶ Presentation of Teresa Cordova on Community Based Planning to NEJAC Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee (Atlanta, Georgia, January 17, 1995).

the "baseline aggregate environmental load" for a given community.

EPA has begun to embrace the concept of " **community-based environmental protection** ." This is an outgrowth of an appreciation that the elements of an ecosystem are more than natural and physical, but also social and cultural. It has its roots in place-based community-wide targeted geographic initiatives and the concept of ecosystem management.²⁷ As we look to a new generation of environmental protection, the use of community mapping becomes a strategy to coherently integrate diverse issues, locations, and communities into a community-based planning model. The import of these tools for addressing urban revitalization/brownfields issues is strikingly apparent.

VII. Executive Order 12898 and Government Reinvention

Recognizing that environmental justice and the issues related to brownfields are by their very nature cross-cutting and multi-disciplinary, the Subcommittee sought to engage a debate over the use of Executive Order 12898-- *Federal Actions to Ensure Environmental Justice in Minority and Low Income Communities*. The concept of an executive order about environmental justice was included in a Transition Paper to the Clinton-Gore Administration developed by a coalition of grassroots environmental justice groups, civil rights organizations, and scholars. Part of the vision that guided this proposal was the overriding need to reinvent the federal government and adopt a comprehensive approach toward addressing a set of related social, economic, and environmental issues, such as unequal protection, environmental racism, and disproportionate impact of environmental pollution on communities of color and low income communities.²⁸

Signed by President Clinton on February 11, 1994, Executive Order 12898 called upon 17 federal agencies to develop strategic plans to address environmental justice. The agencies included EPA, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), the Department of Defense (DoD), the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), the U.S. Department of Interior (DOI), and the U.S. Department of Commerce. The Executive Order also established the IWG.

The Subcommittee took the position that only such an approach can begin to address the interrelated issues associated with urban revitalization and brownfields. Throughout the Public Dialogues, the Subcommittee posed the question of whether or not Executive Order 12898 and the IWG can be vehicles for coalescing a strategy for linking environmental justice to addressing one of the most intractable problems of our times--the state of the urban environment.

There is no greater challenge than recasting a vision of how government should work. This must start with the original and most enduring proponents of government reinvention, such as community residents engaged in overcoming systemic impediments to locally-based solutions. Environmental justice activists and many communities have taken leadership in applying their grassroots visions of healthy and sustainable communities to issues of government reinvention. In addition to the Public

²⁷ See *EPA Five Year Strategic Plan: The Next Generation of Environmental Protection*

²⁸ Ferris, Deeohn. "A Call for Justice and Equal Environmental Protection" in Bullard, Robert D. (Ed.) *Unequal Protection: Environmental Justice and Communities of Color*. San Francisco: Sierra Club Books, 1994.

Dialogues, there have been many occasions--both formal and informal--where extremely worthwhile grassroots discussions of sustainability have taken place. National policy makers would benefit greatly from such discussions.

The role of the public sector is one of the most pressing issues in present American political discourse. The question reveals itself in virtually all issues surrounding the brownfields debate, including the future of cities, urban sprawl, economic and environmental sustainability, racial polarization and social equity, defense conversion, transportation, public health, housing and residential patterns, energy conservation, materials reuse, pollution prevention, urban agriculture, job creation and career development, education, and the link between living in degraded physical environments, alienation, and destructive violence.

These questions translate into specific questions regarding (1) how the Brownfields Initiative can be most effectively implemented,²⁹ and (2) a larger effort capable of coalescing the work of all federal agencies and imbuing them with a common mission capable of providing a truly authentic sign of hope to the American people.

To approach these questions without a clear perspective on the relationship between communities and government reinvention would be imprudent. The original and most enduring proponents of government reinvention are community residents engaged in overcoming systemic impediments to locally based solutions. The Public Dialogues illustrated in profound ways how communities have compelling visions of what constitutes healthy and sustainable communities. The heart and soul of an authentic government reinvention process is the many vibrant and coherent community-based visions of healthy and sustainable communities. Resources must be devoted to help craft these visions into coherent and compelling paradigms for positive change. Such community-based visions provide the compass for public policy discourse and government restructuring. ***By definition, genuine government reinvention cannot take place unless it is a community-driven process .***

VIII. Environmental Justice and the Next Generation of Environmental Protection

When the environmental justice movement posited the notion that "people must speak for themselves" about an environment defined as "the place where we live, where we work, and where we play," it established a framework for functionally bridging the key components of emerging environmental policy, including ecosystem management and community-based environmental protection, equal protection, pollution prevention, cumulative risk, partnership building, programmatic integration, and accountability to the public.³⁰ This fact needs to be elevated as a major tenet of emerging environmental policy. Environmental justice is predicated upon the fact that the health of the members

²⁹ These may include the following: (1) linking with federal facilities cleanup and defense conversion; (2) coordinating job training and career development resources; (3) linking with transportation development, particularly inner city mass transit; (4) coordinating community wide environmental protection and public health strategies; (5) integrating pollution prevention and environmental restoration activities; (6) linking with urban agriculture and public lands development; (7) integrating future materials use strategies and recycling; (8) incorporating energy conservation and green business development; (9) addressing housing development and residential pattern; (10) coordinating support for small business development; and (11) others.

³⁰ EPA Five Year Strategic Plan: The Next Generation of Environmental Protection.

of a community, both individually and collectively, is a product of physical, social, cultural, and spiritual factors. It provides a key to understanding an integrative environmental policy which treats our common ecosystem as the basis for all life (human and non-human) and activity (economic and otherwise).

A systematic public discourse over issues of race and the environment began around the siting of hazardous waste and other noxious facilities. Initially, issues of race and the environment were understood only within the narrow context of the siting issue. To a large extent, those who are out of touch with communities continue to focus only on this issue. However, the issues associated with environmental justice have grown exponentially as more and more communities demand that their day-to-day issues--be they residential, occupational, or otherwise--be made part of the discourse over environmental policy.

Moreover, there exists the need to examine ways of integrating place-based approaches to environmental protection with sector-based approaches. This has enormous implications for industrial policy. In fact, the brownfields issue is a critical nexus for understanding the need for such integration. More likely than not, any industrial sector which has entered its second generation and beyond will have large numbers of brownfields sites. They are the inescapable byproducts of current patterns of industrial/urban development. Far thinking economic and environmental analysts realize that one must take into account the benefits and costs of the entire "life-cycle" of an individual plant or facility or industrial sector. Failure to do so inevitably results in passing costs from one generation to another. Thus, brownfields represent the costs which were externalized during the 1950s and must be paid for today. The urban sprawl/brownfields issues makes it evident that the natural and human ecosystems may be fast approaching the limits of their capacity to maintain such development patterns. There are grave perils to a failure in not turning this way of doing business on its head so that such considerations are addressed at the front end.

Environmental justice represents a new vision borne out of a community-driven process whose essential core is a transformative public discourse over what are truly healthy, sustainable, and vital communities. It flows out of 500 years of struggle for survival by people of color in a multiracial and multicultural society where they were excluded from the full benefits of citizenship or equal rights by one group. It was not coincidental that civil rights leader Martin Luther King, Jr. traveled to Memphis, Tennessee in 1968 to address "an economic and environmental justice dispute for sanitation workers striking for better wages, improved working conditions, and equity with other municipal workers."³¹

Over the past decade, environmental justice has given birth to a new definition of the environment as "the place where we live, where we work, and where we play." It sees the ecosystem which forms the basis for life and well being as being composed of four interrelated environments, i.e., natural, built, social, and cultural/spiritual. Environmental justice is predicated upon a thoughtful critique of the shortcomings of current environmental policy. It has made tremendous contributions to understanding the profound value of public participation and accountability in formulating public policy and environmental decision making. It has reshaped the discourse around public health and environment risks to include the path-breaking issue of multiple, cumulative, and synergistic risk. It has pressed for a new paradigm for conducting community-driven science and holistic place-based, and systems-wide environmental protection. Environmental justice will be the seed-bed for the development of a set of new frameworks and tools truly capable of producing physically and psychologically healthy,

³¹ Bullard, Robert D. [Cite proper source...]

economically and ecologically sustainable, and culturally and spiritually vital communities.

Environmental justice is uniquely equipped to provide the visions, frameworks, and tools to address one of the most critical issues of our times. The future of America's cities is a matter of great concern not only to its residents but also to the future of habitats generally, both urban and rural. The crisis in urban America is fundamentally an ecological one--in the fullest sense of the word.³² Indeed many issues posed in the brownfields debate will determine America's fate not only as a society, but as a civilization.

Environmental justice--defined as a holistic, bottom-up, community-based, multi-issue, cross-cutting, and integrative paradigm for achieving healthy and sustainable communities--is uniquely capable of providing the visions, frameworks, and tools necessary to meet the great national challenge of revitalizing urban America and restoring overall ecological balance. A key contribution of environmental justice over the next several years will be a transformative discourse over how to achieve healthy and sustainable communities in the 21st century.

[Insert the full text of NEJAC Resolution]

³² Ecology, defined as "that which binds all things together--economically, environmentally, socially, culturally, and spiritually."

SUMMARY OF EACH Brownfields DIALOGUE

[This section will provide a description of each Public Dialogue and identify the key community groups and other stakeholders who participated in the meetings. It will describe the role of "community-lead" persons, the planning and preparation process, the role of EPA, the nature of the partnerships established, and subsequent events, including ongoing projects which has grown out of the Public Dialogue process.]

Boston, Massachusetts (June 5, 1995):

Roxbury Community College

Sha-King Alston, University of Massachusetts/Lowell

James Younger, Region I Environmental Justice Coordinator

John Podgurski, Region I Brownfields Coordinator

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (June 7, 1995):

46th Baptist Church

Maurice Sampson, Institute for Local Self-Reliance

Sam Spofforth, Clean Water Action

John Armstead, Region III Waste Division Director

Josie Matsinger, Region III Brownfields Coordinator

Detroit, Michigan (June 9, 1995):

Belle Isle Nature Center

Donelle Wilkins, Detroiters for Environmental Justice

Grace Boggs, Detroiters for Environmental Justice

Guy Williams, Detroiters for Environmental Justice/National Wildlife Federation

Jim Bower, Region I Brownfields Coordinator

Mardi Klev, Southeast Michigan Geographic Initiative Coordinator

Oakland, California (July 18, 1995):

Niles Hall, Preservation Park

Martha Matsuoka, Urban Habitat Program

Lenny Siegel, Pacific Studies Center

Lillian Kawasaki, Department of Environment, City of Los Angeles

Sherry Nikzat, Region IX Brownfields Coordinator

Dianna Young, Region IX _____

Atlanta, Georgia (July 20, 1995):

Environmental Justice Resource Center, Clark-Atlanta University

Connie Tucker, Southern Organizing Committee for Economic & Social Justice (SOC)

Sulaiman Madhi, Atlanta Summit Against Poverty/SOC

DeLane Garner, Environmental Justice Resource Center (Clark Atlanta University)

Vivian Malone-Jones, Region IV Environmental Justice Coordinator

Barbara Dick, Region IV Brownfields Coordinator

Matt Robbins, Region IV Brownfields Coordinator

URBAN REVITALIZATION/BROWNFIELDS RECOMMENDATIONS

Abandoned commercial and industrial properties called "brownfields" which dot the urban landscape are overwhelmingly concentrated in people of color, low-income, and otherwise marginalized communities. By their very nature, brownfields are a product of social inequity, racial discrimination and urban decay--specifically manifested in adverse land use decisions, housing discrimination, residential segregation, community disinvestment, infrastructure decay, lack of educational and employment opportunity, and other issues.

The existence of degraded and hazardous physical environments in people of color, low-income, and otherwise disenfranchised communities is apparent and indisputable. The physical elements of such environments, in part or in whole, have contributed to human disease and illness, negative psycho-social impact, economic disincentive, infrastructure decay, and overall community disintegration. Brownfields are merely one aspect of this phenomenon.

Hence, environmental justice and brownfields are inextricably linked. At the core of an environmental justice perspective is the recognition of the interconnectedness of the physical environment to the overall economic, social, human, and cultural/spiritual health of a community. The vision of environmental justice is the development of a paradigm to achieve socially equitable, environmentally healthy, economically secure, psychologically vital, spiritually whole, and ecologically sustainable communities. To this end, brownfields redevelopment must be linked to helping address this broader set of community needs and goals.

Such an approach has important ramifications for the development of strategies, partnerships, models, and pilot projects. It requires a firm commitment toward achieving the goals of environmental justice and must involve the community as an equal partner. In addition, the approach must integrate activities of all federal agencies as well as their state, local, and tribal counterparts. Through these Public Dialogues, communities have articulated a highly compelling vision of the future that speaks to all levels of government. The recommendations that follow were developed within the framework of a number of overarching questions that emerged as the Subcommittee travelled across the nation and heard testimony from the participants in the Public Dialogues.

The recommendations have been grouped into three basic categories:

I. Public Participation and Community Vision

1. Informed and Empowered Community Involvement
2. Community Vision/Comprehensive Community Based Planning
3. Role and Participation of Youth

II. Key Issue Areas

4. Equal Protection
5. Public Health, Environmental Standards, and Liability
6. Job Creation, Training, and Career Development
7. Land Use

III. Public and Private Sector Partnerships

8. Community/Private Sector Partnerships
9. Local, State, Tribal, and Territorial Government
10. Federal Interagency Cooperation, Programmatic Integration, and Government Reinvention

Given the cross-cutting nature of the issues surrounding urban revitalization and brownfields, these recommendations should be viewed as an integrative set. Each recommendation is an important and indispensable piece of the larger puzzle. Therefore, no single recommendation, nor a subset of recommendations, should be viewed in isolation from the others.

I. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND COMMUNITY VISION

1. Informed and Empowered Community Involvement

If there is any hope of revitalizing our urban communities, we have to begin with revitalizing the participation of the citizenry. We know that apathy is rampant, especially in economically disadvantaged communities. But for us to build sustainable communities, we must take the time to cut through the apathy. It will take time because people of color and low income communities are not just disenfranchised economically; we are disenfranchised psychologically because we have witnessed a history of being locked out of the decision-making process.

*Connie Tucker, Southern Organizing Committee
for Social and Economic Justice³³*

Early, ongoing, and meaningful public participation is a hallmark of sound public policy and decision making. This requires that those most directly impacted are capable of exercising effectively their prerogatives and obligations to provide public input. Hence, the Subcommittee believes that public participation is meaningless if it is not informed and empowered community involvement.

Issues typically worthy of government attention such as brownfields are highly complex. Issues of such complexity pose real challenges to policy makers as to how to develop and master the tools, methodologies, frameworks, processes, and protocols necessary for effective and meaningful public participation. Such issues typically involve multiple communities, different cultures and languages, diverse stakeholders, time frames, multiple locations, a broad range of agencies and institutions, and other factors. More often than not, the issues involve conflicting interests, agendas, and value systems. Such are issues and situations that typically involve four elements: (1) facts are uncertain, (2) values are in dispute, (3) stakes are high, and (4) a decision is urgent.³⁴ While an appropriate description of many environmentally related issues facing society today, the environmental justice framework eloquently speaks to the need for meaningful public participation in the conduct of science and use of technology.

Public participation must be early and meaningful. Community involvement must take place "up front" and not be an after-thought. Too often, communities are consulted only after a decision has been made. Governments operate too often in a "decide, adapt, and defend" mode. Participants at the Public Dialogues stressed a new power relationship within which communities are an integral part of the decision-making process "from beginning to end." The community is inherently qualified to be "at the table" during discussions about matters which affect them. Moreover, several participants cautioned that just because a person was at the table does not necessarily mean they are part of the decision-making process.

³³ Transcripts-NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities. Atlanta, Georgia (July 20, 1995) pp. 25-30.

³⁴ Article on Issue-Driven Science.

With respect to public participation and the EPA Brownfields Initiative, there is typically much confusion around the fact EPA's grants must go to a state, local or tribal government. Community groups with an interest in a local brownfields site thus may waste much time and energy because they are unaware that they need to develop strategies and build partnerships to ensure public accountability on the part of local officials and enhance the local brownfields proposals. Most important, many community residents have both the desire to assist the city and much knowledge to offer, but lack resources and information to participate fully.

Specific Recommendations:

□ 1a. Support sustained and structured public dialogue on brownfields and environmental justice on all levels.

The Subcommittee appreciates EPA's realization of the need for and its commitment to a systematic and sustained national dialogue on brownfields and environmental justice. Such a commitment requires some structured mechanisms for communities to engage EPA, government and other stakeholders, around their concerns. In this case, NEJAC offered one such mechanism. Other mechanisms--on national, regional, and local levels--must be created and supported. Key issues include early participation in planning efforts, peer review of research projects, and development of grant proposals. Efforts must be made to proactively support and enhance the NEJAC Public Participation Model. The NEJAC Public Dialogues was one example. The NEJAC Public Participation and Accountability Subcommittee has requested that it be viewed as a "living model" for implementing public participation.

□ 1b. Develop efforts to empower stakeholders through information and education. Conduct its brownfields program in ways which offer a sense of real hope

Public Dialogue participants indicated that many residents of impacted communities do not participate due to despair, apathy, lack of time and resources, or because they have just given up. In addition to specific recommendations to ensure better access, ranging from holding meetings at convenient times and accessible places to use of non-traditional outreach methods, the Subcommittee also emphasizes the need for government to foster encouragement and a sense of hope that is based upon results. In addition to participation in decisions, residents must also participate in any social, environmental, and economic benefits that results from decisions.

□ 1c. Institute procedures and protocols to verify demonstrable partnerships with community-based groups in project proposals. Grants and other support to city, state, and tribal governments should be made contingent upon realistic plans for continuing public participation, such as the establishment of community advisory boards made up of people most impacted by brownfields sites

EPA has initiated changes to the brownfields pilot process in order to address concerns raised at the Philadelphia public dialogue. Through the community involvement "check-up," EPA contacts community representatives named in grant applications to verify their participation. The results of this verification, which proved a lack of community involvement resulted in the elimination of several applications from consideration. Also EPA revised the Brownfields Pilot Application Guidelines (September 1995), based on extensive comments provided by the

NEJAC, as well as input from the public dialogues to better highlight the importance of community involvement and environmental justice planning.

Grant proposals often create the impression that community groups are more involved in the development of a project proposal than is actually the case. This becomes a source of friction and distrust. This is different in three ways from holding public meetings or getting letters of support:

- Ongoing stakeholder involvement is the only way to ensure that the affected community can influence technical and economic decisions.
- The community brings a wealth of site-specific knowledge to the table. Ongoing mechanisms such as advisory boards allow participants to get beyond posturing and to work together cooperatively.
- Upfront community involvement reduces the likelihood that political or legal action will block projects down the road.

☐ 1d. *Undertake special outreach efforts to overlooked groups*

People of color are not homogenous populations. At the same time, each group has unique historical and cultural circumstances which must to be considered. Examples cited in this regard during the Public Dialogues include Laotian Americans in Richmond, California; Arab-Americans in Detroit, Michigan; and Native Americans in urban areas.

☐ 1j. *Institute ways to improve the public's access to information on urban revitalization/brownfields.*

Specific ways to improve the public's access to information include:

- Supporting the establishment of "storefront" type clearinghouses and repositories of brownfields information in impacted communities for open access to information and create atmosphere for ongoing dialogue and planning (a good example is the Brownfields pilot project in North Hampton County/Cape Charles, Virginia).
- holding meetings at convenient times and locations
- providing daycare and translation
- utilizing innovative and non-traditional outreach methods such as school programs, posters, advertisements in local papers, community newsletters, and electronic mail
- building upon existing social and cultural networks such as schools, churches, and civic organizations

- ☐ 1g. *Institute policies and performance measures which encourage program personnel and policy makers to spend substantive time in neighborhoods as a regular part of their work so that there is understanding of real problems, concerns, and aspirations of community residents.*

- ☐ 1i. *Utilize a definition of the community which is inclusive but gives priority to people who live closest to a site and/or are most directly impacted by activities at a site .*

- ☐ 1k. *Develop mechanisms and structures through which the community can take part in reviewing and evaluating progress*

2. Community Vision/Comprehensive Community Based Planning

Periodically, societies need to create movements that stretch our humanity as we transform ourselves and our environment at the same time. It's been very exciting to be a part of such a movement. I will try to convey in a few words what is happening so that you can catch the spirit... I'm convinced that out of the devastation of Detroit, we are at the point here today where we can really redefine, rebuild, respire, and recivilize the city. As you drive through Detroit, it's very easy to see the vacant lots and the abandoned buildings. What is harder to see behind the physical devastation is the new spirit that is arising in the city and finds its expression chiefly in the explosion of meetings that has taken place in the last year. There are meetings of hundreds and thousands of people, namely around the empowerment zone and the Land Use Task Force... But there are smaller meetings. For example, there's a group called Healthy Detroit, of which the Mayor is the honorary chair... Here in Detroit, we started by building a common vision.

*Grace Boggs, Detroiters for
Environmental Justice³⁵*

What would Detroit be like if there was a call to put major resources into economic self-reliance that would create economic livelihood opportunities in communities? A vision is what has been portrayed but has never been. It raises one's sights of what might be. It's inspiring and hopeful.... What would a new community-based economy look like? It must be real and tangible and immediate. I can see it, I can touch it, I can almost taste it... [Presenter goes on to describe in detail possible ventures to restore Detroit economically and environmentally, including the role of urban agriculture.]

If we ignore the development of an urban agricultural base in Detroit, we will miss the opportunity to really make Detroit a great city. In the 21st century, only those cities that develop a sound policy of such urban, social and ecological developments will flourish. I believe that we will only move forward toward this future if we begin to adopt and integrate the Principles of Environmental Justice into our day-to-day fabric, and that's the future that I look forward to.

*John Gruchala, Wayne County
Community College³⁶*

There exists within local communities highly coherent, vibrant, and compelling visions for achieving healthy and sustainable communities. Particularly in people of color, low income and otherwise marginalized communities, such visions emerge from a long history of grassroots efforts to be self-defined, self-directed, self-empowered, self-controlled, self-sufficient, and self-determined. Many

³⁵ Transcripts-NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities. Detroit, Michigan (June 9, 1995) pp. 21-24.

³⁶ Ibid., pp. 54-59.

communities already are engaging in highly successful planning and visioning processes. Government must acknowledge that these already exist. Brownfields and all community revitalization efforts must be based upon such community visions. The capacity of local communities to identify and build upon the assets which ensure healthy and sustainable community is an invaluable resource to the nation. These assets are economic, social, human, institutional, physical, natural, cultural, intellectual, and spiritual.

Public Dialogue participants articulated the importance of developing holistic, multi-faceted, interactive, and integrative community-based planning models for brownfields and urban revitalization. They view this as an alternative to current dependence on developer-driven models, which define the brownfields problem in a narrow way. The brownfields issue, in the eyes of the community, is more than the simple identification of contaminated sites and goes beyond the definitions created by developers. The community defines the problem from the vantage point of their aspirations.

There was support for this premise from virtually all Public Dialogue participants, including representatives of the business community and lending institutions. Realization existed that "if we're not addressing transportation, housing, education and training, and racism and other driving factors that have led to deindustrialization of our urban areas and loss of vitality, then addressing brownfields, environmental contamination and liability alone will not be a significant benefit for people in the communities."

Specific Recommendations:

□ ***2a. Base brownfields pilots and other efforts upon coherent community visions which emerge from processes which have integrity in a community by ensuring opportunities for communities to articulate their own visions for "redefining, rebuilding, and respiration" their communities***

Such visions must be comprehensive and address community revitalization, education, environmental cleanup and redevelopment, job creation and training, economic impacts, housing, and infrastructure. Several participants at the Public Dialogues pointed to local efforts to build common visions that allow people from various backgrounds to come together and form a common vision that incorporates the needs of different sectors of the population.

□ ***2b. Acknowledge community-based planning as a critical methodology for environmental protection and promote its use both inside and outside the agency***

Several participants spoke about the need to develop tools that can be placed in the hands of community members which can help them to address issues related to environmental justice, community-based planning, and urban revitalization. They noted the importance of using such tools when forming a collective community vision.³⁷

³⁷ Examples cited at Public Dialogues included South Bronx NYC Ordinance 197A Planning Process (Vernice Miller-Northeast Environmental Justice Network), Southeast Michigan Environmental Vision (Ed Miller-Charles Stewart Mott Foundation), San Diego Toxic Free Neighborhoods Community

- ☐ 2c. *Support the development of tools and expertise for community-based planning, including the highly significant growth of "spontaneous" interest among community groups in community mapping*

EPA should perform inventory resources available in the agency such as LandView II and other mapping programs as part of its community-based environmental protection efforts. Additionally, EPA should compile an inventory of relevant extramural literature, experiences, experts, tools, and practicing institutions.

- ☐ 2d. *Develop programs to provide to the community access to and training on the use of LandView II, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and other electronic mapping resources.*

- ☐ 2e. *Incorporate pollution prevention and environmental restoration strategies into brownfields and other revitalization efforts.*

- ☐ 2f. *Support community-based effort to link brownfields to other redevelopment and community enhancement strategies such as "Empowerment Zones/Enterprise Communities, workforce development and job training, transportation infrastructure development, federal facilities restoration, and others.*

- ☐ 2g. *Encourage revitalization strategies and redevelopment efforts which serve to support, enhance and protect a community's culture and history*

Such efforts should take into account both local and regional history. They should seek opportunities to build upon cultural resources and efforts at historical preservation as vehicles for economic development and enhancement of community based assets.

Planning

Guide (Diane Takvorian-Environmental Health Coalition), and Pocket of Poverty Neighborhood Alliance Strategic Plan (Teresa Cordova-University of New Mexico at Albuquerque).

3. Role and Participation of Youth

We have to talk about a vision that comes from the community, but part of that community is young people; it can't just be in words alone. It really has to be about involving the young people into the process...

One of the young people who works with us, a brother, often says that the solutions of today end up being the problems of tomorrow. If young people are not sitting in the process, are not involved in the dialogue, I can understand how the solutions for today will end up being the problems of tomorrow...

[Young people] must be part of revitalizing our urban inner cities. In so doing, we must look at building partnerships with elementary schools, high schools. I know here in Atlanta there are many schools which are built on top of landfills. Whether or not they are cleaned up, the history is there. How many of our children know that? How many of our parents actually know that? We must look at the psychological impact on young people today.

When you look at the reality of lack of jobs, when you look at the question of jobs versus the environment, we hear that as young people we don't understand the dialogue that is taking place around being able to develop real jobs that affect our future so that our community can be truly sustainable.

When you look at crime and violence in the communities, it is all linked. Yet what it comes down to is the reality of how to overcome these things. The psychological impact on young people growing up in urban American must be filtered into our public dialogue as we talk about revitalization.

Angela Brown, Youth Task Force³⁸

The youth are a precious resource which must be affirmed, supported, and nurtured. Young people provided great energy, creativity, and a sense of fresh vision to the Public Dialogues. During the Public Dialogues, they insisted on being participants in all dialogues and decision-making processes. They made some of the most compelling presentations. No doubt urban revitalization/brownfields issues are matters of great concern to young persons. The issues of healthy and sustainable communities are issues of a viable future. Government and social institutions have a moral obligation to ensure a world fit for all children--present and future.

³⁸ Transcripts-NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities. Atlanta, Georgia (July 18, 1995) pp. 68-74.

Specific Recommendations:

□ 3a. Form the requisite partnerships both inside and outside of government to better understand and address urban revitalization/brownfields issues of concern to youth

Many issues associated with brownfields are profoundly related to the concerns of youth. For example, the Public Dialogues yielded perhaps no more thought provoking testimony than the account of a 5-year old African American boy's unsolicited remark in which he associated being black with living in burnt-out, empty, trash-filled neighborhoods. Environmental justice seeks to address the functional link between living in degraded physical environments, mass alienation, and destructive violence. Offering a coherent way to impact this relationship will significantly benefit greatly those seeking to address violence, substance abuse, and related issues.

Additionally, meaningful employment and career prospects rank among the central questions facing young persons--in many ways defining young people's sense of identity and connectedness to society. These are issues which must be engaged at the earliest age possible. EPA and other government agencies must see it as their responsibility to work with young people to help present a message about meaningful career prospects that are relevant to them.

□ 3b. Through the Brownfields initiative, integrate environmental activities and career development with targeted environmental justice and urban revitalization strategies.

A significant amount of resources and attention is devoted to interest young persons in the pursuit of careers related to the environmental. Environmental justice and urban revitalization offer opportunities to change the serious inadequacy of cultural diversity in EPA and professions related to the environment. Moreover, they allow for integration across disciplinary lines to make for career paths more relevant to the needs of the 21st century. Moreover, environmental justice and urban revitalization create opportunities to make current educational programs more relevant by integrating study and action around issues related to "the place where we live, work, and play." Public education can be engaged in highly productive and compelling ways. A noteworthy example is *Commencement 2000*, an environmental education urban forestry project in Oakland, California initiated by the U.S. Forest Service. EPA should partner with other agencies to support efforts by public schools, community colleges, public and private universities, and other educational institutions to integrate these issues.

□ 3c. Provide support for youth-led projects

□ 3d. Establish mechanisms which enhance multi-generational partnerships, particularly supporting the establishment and maintenance of youth mentoring networks--both formal and informal

□ 3e. Designate "youth" as a formal stakeholder category for federal advisory committees and other multi-stakeholder public participation processes

II. KEY ISSUE AREAS

4. Equal Protection

[Insert appropriate statement from NEJAC Public Dialogue transcripts]

The brownfields problem--the profusion of abandoned and/or contaminated properties in people of color, low income, and marginalized communities--is an inevitable consequence of unequal protection in housing, land use, transportation, educational and economic opportunity, and other issues which have resulted urban deterioration. Urban sprawl is yet another negative consequence due to race and class divisions in American society.

Equal protection is the constitutional right of all Americans. This demands that societal institutions be accessible, responsible and accountable to all people in society, regardless of social or economic standing. Environmental justice needs to be distinguished from a narrow view of equal protection that stops only at merely making exposure to harmful pollutants more evenly distributed. "What is ultimately at stake in the environmental justice debate is everyone's quality of life. The goal is equal protection, not equal pollution."³⁹

Specific Recommendations:

☐ 4a. ***Advocate the use of Executive Order 12898 as a logical opportunity to bring to bear a set of related federal programs involving cross-cutting and multi-issue approaches to environmental justice issues***

☐ 4b. ***Implement targeted initiatives in highly impacted areas to ensure meaningful community participation and accountability, strengthen data gathering, ensure equal enforcement and compliance activity, and build private and public partnerships***

In light of the inseparable links between brownfields and environmental justice programs, great attention must be placed to ensuring explicit, visible and tangible component addressing environmental justice, community outreach, equal opportunity, and empowerment concerns.

☐ 4c. ***Work with other federal agencies, state, local and tribal governments to ensure equal protection of the law in related areas such as community reinvestment, fair housing, equal business opportunity, financing, and health protection.***

☐ 4d. ***Intensify efforts for ensuring cultural diversity within the EPA workforce, viewing this as a key foundational element to initiatives such as brownfields.***

³⁹ Ferris, Deeohn. Percival, et.al. *Environmental Regulation, Law, Science, and Policy: 1995 Supplement*. New York: Little, Brown, and Company, 1995, p. 1.

5. Public Health, Environmental Standards, and Liability

One of the first times I heard the notion of brownfields was from the environmental attorney for one of the nation's largest corporations. She told me that she liked the idea of brownfields because that meant that they could build factories in communities that were already contaminated rather than going out and threatening the greenfields, which were pristine. Having sat in hearings for the Defense Department and Energy Department where they talked about relaxing cleanup standards based on prospective reuse of the property, I think there are a lot of people in government who have basically the same attitude. We pollute certain areas of the country; there are certain kinds of people that live there. Let's keep on polluting the same areas. If brownfields get misused as a concept, it could lead to more of that.

What we heard today, however, is that people in most communities don't see it that way. They don't figure that just because they were polluted by an oil company, a utility, or a roadway, that somehow their families should be subjected to more. So the message we have heard--and it has to go back to Washington loud and clear--is that you look at a way of first cleaning up the property, and secondly, developing industry, economic activity, or parks.

Lenny Siegel, Pacific Studies Center⁴⁰

Public health and environmental protection are matters of primary concern to communities; they were a recurring theme of testimony presented at the Public Dialogues. Public Dialogue participants pointed out that thousands of abandoned and contaminated sites are located in densely populated urban areas close to where children, teenagers, and homeless people play and congregate. These also are areas for large-scale commercial and illegal dumping of contaminated materials. Any economic redevelopment strategy must be cognizant of pressing public health issues in communities; it must not sacrifice environmental safety for the sake of economic growth or prosperity.

Many Public Dialogue participants expressed uneasiness about the environmental and public health ramifications of present approaches to brownfields redevelopment. The Subcommittee believes that there are enormous social costs attached to our inability to return appropriate properties to beneficial reuse. In addition to the loss of economic vitality in terms of employment, commerce, and taxes, abandoned properties become a magnet for criminal and drug activity, a source of community demoralization, and a contributor to a downward spiral of community decay.

The Subcommittee has ascertained that while the prospect of quickly returning abandoned properties to beneficial reuse may be highly attractive, communities are extremely apprehensive that attempts to streamline or speed up the cleanup process may be at the expense of environmental protection and public health. These are extremely complex issues where decisions which may determine the fate of communities for generations to come. The Subcommittee believes that any "rush to judgement" or the adoption of a "one size fits all" solution to brownfields assessment, cleanup, and redevelopment, would

⁴⁰ Transcripts-NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities. Oakland, California (July 18, 1995) pp. 88-89.

be imprudent. More important, the Subcommittee has ascertained that there is as yet an insufficient level of discussion about these complex issues in directly impacted communities. Ultimately, these communities must be part of the process of shaping these policies and practical solutions. Thus, the Subcommittee is not prepared to endorse particular solutions until directly impacted communities have had a chance to provide visible and meaningful input to this discussion.

The Subcommittee's viewpoints on Public Health, Environmental Standards, and Liability issues can be summarized in the following way:

Public Health: In most urban/brownfields areas, there exists a set of characteristics which contribute to overall poor health.⁴¹ For this reason, a new set of priorities is needed. For good reasons, methodologies and technologies for characterizing environmental hazards heretofore have been built around the "worst first model." High priority has been given by responsible parties, regulators, and communities to identify and define the contamination that posed the greatest threat to public health or the environment, given existing exposures and potential pathways.

For brownfields, that priority is turned on its head. To maximize the reuse of large areas where there exists a multiplicity of smaller sources of contamination with greatly variable degrees of severity, the cleanup process needs to determine early on which areas are safe. Only then is it healthy and economically viable to transfer or reuse a particular property or proceed towards an overall revitalization strategy for the area. In order to ensure public health and a sound environment as part of both short term and long term integrated redevelopment plans, the goal must be to ascertain not only what sites are unsafe but what areas are safe.

The primary and most cost-effective public health strategy is prevention. In the less than perfect world of congested, post-industrial urban/brownfields communities, the Subcommittee believes that there must be a baseline understanding of public health and environment which includes consideration of (1) characteristics of urban/brownfields communities, (2) sources of environmental risk, (3) aggregate toxic load, and (3) the capacity of public health community to intervene effectively. The community must be engaged in developing this baseline understanding as well as making choices over redevelopment strategies. Based upon such a baseline understanding, choices can be made about revitalization and redevelopment which (1) separate people from toxics, (2) ensure environmental quality and ecological integrity, (3) create a repository of information for regulators, health practitioners, and the community, and (4) allow such choices to be based upon a rationale, commonly understood, and mutually agreed upon frame of reference.

Environmental Standards: Overall community goals regarding environmental quality and land use must guide the process for developing environmental cleanup standards. This principle is crucial for

⁴¹ Please see discussion of typical characteristics of urban/brownfields communities in "Understanding Characteristics of the Urban Environment." (p. --) These include: (1) oversaturation of communities with multiple sources of environmental pollution in highly congested spaces, (2) co-existence of residential and industrial sites as a result of imprudent land use decisions, (3) lack of documentation of most environmental health risks in urban communities, (4) the existence of as yet not understood effects of multiple, cumulative, and synergistic risks, (5) virtual nonexistence of environmental enforcement and compliance activity, (6) lack of health services and adequate information on environmental risks, (7) severe infrastructure decay, and (8) a high degree of social alienation and decay caused by living in degraded physical environments.

the following reason. When development-oriented corporations or local governments attempt to limit cleanup time and expense by adopting weaker goals, they often end up allowing present contamination to determine the land use. Thus, they limit the community's future land use because they are unable or unwilling to carry out the cleanup.

To ensure that standards remain protective of public health, the guidelines listed below should apply to the adaptation of soil cleanup standards based upon anticipated land use. No matter which specific law is used to make these determinations, a fundamental principle applies: the decision should be made by, or in consultation with, those most directly affected or likely to be affected by the contamination.

- The migration of hazards and the impact of contamination on adjacent areas should be considered.
- The potential for mixed uses, such as childcare centers in industrial or commercial areas, should be evaluated.
- The standard could take into account the potential changes of use that might take place over the life of the hazard. Relying upon current uses or even existing plans could lock in uses that the community will want to change later.
- The cost and delay of determining and evaluating the impact of future use may make the strongest standard--such as cleanup to meet a residential scenario--the most timely and cost effective.
- Land and water not cleaned up to the strongest standard should be subject to institutional controls and/or monitoring for the life of the hazards. The cost of these controls should be considered in evaluating the savings achieved by implementing the proposed weaker standard. The community must be involved in making these decisions and in providing oversight and monitoring.

Even if these guidelines are followed, communities and officials should proceed cautiously. An area that has been blighted by contamination could be subjected to repeated pollution if the future use plan for that area assumes that contamination--both old and new--won't result in human illness.

The Subcommittee notes that discussions are taking place to develop a more rationale approach towards categorizing levels of severity and/or future land use in order to cut down on confusion and unnecessary bureaucracy.⁴² While the Subcommittee urges further discussions of this nature, it believes that they must be guided by the goal of achieving public health and be fully informed by the issues we have presented.

Environmental Liability: Business representatives with an interest in urban revitalization have warned that potential environmental liability is a major deterrent in brownfields reinvestment. EPA is developing a suite of tools for overcoming liability obstacles. The Subcommittee summarizes two major points on this question:

⁴² Please note City of Chicago Brownfields Forum, *Recycling Land for Chicago's Future: Final Report and Action Plan*, November 1995. pp. 41.

- Community representatives generally have taken no position on these tools for addressing liability obstacles. However, they express much skepticism. They want to be sure that a responsible party is held accountable in tangible and meaningful ways. In addition, the existence of a deterrent to irresponsible and inappropriate practices is viewed as a necessity. The liability issue cannot be considered in isolation. Public Dialogue witnesses gave examples of illegal dumping and other improper and/or illegal activities in their neighborhoods. Hence, the liability issue must be considered in relationship to the existence or lack thereof tangible and meaningful enforcement and compliance activity, as well as mechanisms to ensure that health and related needs are met.
- The participants at the Public Dialogues point out that environmental liability is not the only impediment to reinvestment in urban/brownfields communities. In fact, environmental liability may not rank as the most serious impediment for communities experiencing a long history of disinvestment. These other impediments include redlining and other discriminatory practices of lending and insurance institutions. They also include decisions to relocate industrial facilities to other parts of the country and the world.

Community involvement must be an overarching principle guiding Brownfields Initiatives. The community is uniquely qualified to make choices over environmental health and clean up. Community residents have direct knowledge of the environmental problems in their communities. They should be directly involved in the oversight of cleanup *and* related environmental activity and in the development of future use plans.

Likewise, pollution prevention must be integrated into all brownfields projects as an overarching principle. Brownfields projects can provide unique opportunities to apply the pollution prevention concept in practical ways. Most brownfields communities have both cleanup and toxic release problems. Turning them into livable communities means that both have to be addressed. For example, if you do cleanup without pollution prevention, the same set of problems will reemerge. The community must be involved in developing pollution prevention strategies because they often have the most practical and innovative ideas.

Specific Recommendations:

☐ 5a. *Establish mechanisms which ensure a primary role for impacted communities in the decision making process over public health and environmental protection issues*

☐ 5b. *Involve the impacted community in clarifying the environmental risk issues associated with urban revitalization and brownfields*

Presently, there exists a huge gap in understanding the actual environmental health challenges posed by brownfields-type communities. For example, oversaturated communities pose environmental risks to residents which is multiple, cumulative and synergistic in nature. This calls into question environmental protection models which presently proceed from a substance-specific, site-specific, and media-specific framework.

☐ 5c. *Provide to impacted communities adequate information about the environmental risks*

associated with brownfields

☐ 5d. *Involve the community in developing a framework for understanding and addressing the public health baseline in urban areas as part of any revitalization strategy*

☐ 5e. *Strengthen right-to-know, enforcement and compliance activity in impacted communities*

In addition, EPA must provide opportunities for communities to be involved in inspections, negotiations, and public review.

☐ 5f. *Support several brownfields projects⁴³ where the key component is assessment of health risks on a community-wide basis*

☐ 5g. *Focus attention and resources on special issues such as lack of infrastructure along the US-Mexico border and on Native American reservations*

☐ 5h. *Support efforts to identify and clarify all issues related to reinvestment in urban/brownfields areas, particularly the relationship between redlining, community reinvestment, and environmental liability reform*

☐ 5i. *Take concrete measures to address health and safety in workplaces associated with brownfields projects*

☐ 5j. *Support community desires to foster environmental restoration, "green" businesses, pollution prevention, and other environmentally sound economic development*

⁴³ These pilots include projects in Louisville, Kentucky; New York, New York; and Worcester, Massachusetts.

6. Job Creation, Training, and Career Development

We started in our community a Water Conservation Program with six employees. Now we have twenty-eight employees, all [working at] \$8.00 an hour and with medical insurance... We give the low-flush toilet to the community. We receive \$25 for each toilet. That's the way we make [our] money. We now have this project for four years. When it started, we planned to have the program for only six months; then it continued for another six months, and another six months... We have help from the Water Department. We don't need to go through other people [to] train the kids. We have people in the organization to train these people. I think all communities can do something like this. Start low and then go up.

*Juana Gutierrez, Mothers of East
Los Angeles⁴⁴*

Brownfields redevelopment must be coordinated with broader strategies of job creation, training, and career development which produce demonstrable benefits for the host community. The startup and nurturing of locally based businesses as a function of true economic development is a critical requirement.

Many participants stated that in order for urban areas to survive, new ways of creating and sustaining employment must be devised. They noted that if poor education, lack of training, and other issues which have led to the deindustrialization of urban areas continue to prevail, any effort at urban revitalization will not result in significant benefits to urban communities. These witnesses strongly urged coordination between workforce development and training programs with sustainable job opportunities. To integrate job training and employment development, urban revitalization/Brownfields Initiatives should involve integrated project planning, in which the workforce needs of the various projects in an area are known soon enough to recruit and train needed workers from the local population.

Two issues were particularly prominent: (1) efforts must be made to ensure workplace health and safety for those jobs developed within the community, particularly those associated with environmental cleanup activities; and (2) jobs must produce livable wages which fit into a career development ladder that is based upon realistic assessment of present and emerging job markets.

The importance to the community of building community-based businesses and providing entrepreneurial startup help and ongoing business training to individuals and companies, with emphasis on people of color and female-owned companies within the community, was a very significant concern at the Public Dialogues.

The need to coordinate resources available for job training and business development from among the many Federal agencies with interests and funding sources was cited as a serious concern. At the present time there appears to be no "one-stop shopping" for worker training assistance, nor business

⁴⁴ Transcripts-NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities. Oakland, California (July 18, 1995) pp. 186-187.

development assistance. This is particularly true of the programs available from DOL, HUD, DOT, DOE, and DoD. There are many cross currents at work with the eligibility requirements that make much of the training assistance illusory to the very people within the community who need it most. The definitional problems of fitting into present "displace" worker and similar job training programs need to be dealt with if this type of assistance is to be made meaningful to the brownfields impacted communities.

Coordination and cooperation among government (federal, state, tribal, and local), business/industry, community-based organizations labor unions, faith groups, and the community-at-large is mandatory in order to leverage resources, avoid duplication and develop mechanisms which link workforce development and cleanup to economic redevelopment. Concentration by these parties must be on a *win/win* basis. Everyone benefits if they are unified and taking actions towards a common goal, i.e., a vibrant, safe, healthy, and sustainable community.

Specific Recommendations:

- ☐ 6a. ***Make use of the momentum generated by the brownfields issue and provide leadership in building partnerships and coalitions which result in locally based job creation, entrepreneurial development, and sustainable careers***

This effort must involve all federal agencies, state, local, and tribal governments, local community development organizations, churches, labor unions, philanthropies, universities, and the business community.

- ☐ 6b. ***Support efforts to provide information, technical assistance and pilot job training and career development programs***

Examples of such programs are the technical and information workshops for communities such as the NIEHS Technical Workshop on Environmental Job Training for Inner City Youth at Cuyahoga Community College in Cleveland, Ohio in 1995. Continued support by EPA of NIEHS's Minority Worker Training Program now under pilot operation in a number of brownfields cities is very important. EPA, in concert with other Federal, State, and local agencies should initiate a series of job summit to define local trends and job opportunities within brownfields communities.

- ☐ 6c. ***Support efforts to ensure worker health and safety***

Any increase in economic redevelopment activity must have a commensurate increase in support to protect worker health and safety for efforts to ensure worker health and safety, which means includes support for pre-training, training and apprenticeship programs focusing on workplace safety and health. Many of these programs are now supported at local community colleges and union/management training and apprentice programs.

- ☐ 6d. ***Link brownfields with transportation infrastructure development, particularly those related to mass transit***

See experiences of this critical link in communities such as Bayview Hunters Point in San Francisco, California; Green Line in Chicago, Illinois; and Fruitvale, California.

☐ 6e. *Partner with other federal agencies to link clean-up of federal facilities and base-conversion activities with the needs of urban revitalization and brownfields*

In many places, DOD and DOE facility cleanup and conversion constitute a major, if not the major, source of economic redevelopment funding potential.

☐ 6f. *Partner with other federal agencies to link rehabilitation of low- and middle-income housing stocks to EPA's brownfields and urban revitalization projects*

For example, EPA should link with HUD to address issues related to asbestos and lead abatement.

☐ 6g. *Consider a wide variety of school to work and youth apprenticeship programs that may be applicable to community development models involved in the brownfields programs*

EPA should continue to support, and then expand on, significant employment and training models, particularly those that provide youth training and pre-apprenticeship opportunities such as urban forestry and agriculture projects. EPA should target labor unions and faith groups as key partners in job training because of their direct ties to the communities and their significant training infrastructures.

For example, EPA should continue to work with:

- DOL to link local private industry councils with brownfields initiatives
- the National Institute of Health Sciences to ensure that NIEHS Minority Worker Training pilot grants--established to facilitate the development of urban minority youth worker training programs--overlap with brownfields pilot cities.
- other federal agencies (such as DOL and HUD) to develop a registered apprenticeship program called Superfund Step-up to focus on employment opportunities for low-income and minority youth affected by contaminated waste sites.

☐ 6h. *Provide support for developing entrepreneurial ventures in pollution preventing, materials reuse and recycling, environmental restoration, and related areas .*

7. Land Use

One baseline issue is community control over land use. The whole process of land use, and control over zoning and development is really at the core of how many of our communities got to the place that they are in now. Perhaps it is a way by which they can work their way out of the situation... Many of our communities--it's across the board in most low income communities of color--are often zoned for mixed-use. So we have industrial, commercial and residential development in the places where we live.

We have a situation in New York where two incredibly different communities exist in one local zoning area, i.e., West Harlem, where I live, and Morningside Heights, where Columbia University is located. West Harlem is zoned mixed-use. We have sewage treatment plants, bus depots, chemical waste storage centers, transportation routes (including one for hazardous wastes). All of that criss-crosses each other every day right through our community, and we're surrounded by highways on three sides. But in the Morningside Heights community, which is the southern neighbor of our community, you cannot so much as zone a news stand without going through without going through incredible land use regulations to get any kind of land use that is not residentially zoned.

I sit on the local zoning board. It's become clear to me that if we don't get involved in that process, we are never going to understand it and perhaps change it in a way that really respects the interests of local communities. We have gotten a lot better at being able to identify how issues of environmental justice, urban revitalization, economic redevelopment, and land use are intimately connected. You really can't think about one without the other.

*Vernice Miller, Northeast Environmental
Justice Network⁴⁵*

Past land use decisions, many of which are socially inequitable and racially discriminatory, are a major contributor to the inequitable distribution of the burdens and benefits of modern industrial society. Public Dialogue participants gave numerous accounts of the placement of polluting industries that produce toxic chemicals in area where people live, work, play, and go to school. They insisted that Brownfields Initiatives must examine the relationship between past, current, and future land use.

Specific recommendations to come .

⁴⁵ Transcripts-NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities. Boston, Massachusetts (June 5, 1995), pp. 27-29.

III. PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNERSHIPS

8. Community/Private Sector Partnerships

Our vision is of an urban village working cooperatively to improve the quality of life and conditions of our neighborhoods with an emphasis on sustainable development that is economically and ecologically sound. We seek to empower and inspire members of our neighborhoods, especially our children and youth to develop effective responses to the needs of our communities and to promote cooperation, collaboration and partnership with social service agencies, governments and the private sector to create liveable communities. We seek to positively impact social, economic, and spiritual development of our neighborhood and cities. A priority of our zone is providing safe, decent, and affordable housing. Our vision can become a reality when our community becomes a cooperative village, an extended family that is self reliant, self-sufficient and self determined...I think that's one of the most beautiful vision [statements] I have had the opportunity to hear and take part in developing. It is the vision of the Atlanta Empowerment Zone Community.

*Sulaiman Madhi, Atlanta Summit
Against Poverty⁴⁶*

I heard a lot of discussion about involvement and partnership. That's certainly the direction we have to take. But I would suggest taking it one step farther. The community actually has to take charge. It seems to me that if you look at the environmental justice movement, it started with an awakening--a realization that there was a lot of pollution that was victimizing the people living there. The second stage was kind of a reaction stage where people said--don't put it here. If you want to put a new incinerator up, don't put it here. We have had enough pollution. Based on what I heard this morning, I suggest that it's time now for communities to actually take charge to create a vision of what they want for their communities, to work with their local government, to make it competitive for somebody to invest that kind of business in that location.

Richard Morrison, Bank of America⁴⁷

My father came from the south, [where he worked] as a sharecropper. He got a job in the auto industry, with the UAW. He did not have the education but he had the drive. Because of that, he was able to make a living for himself...Today, our generation does

⁴⁶ Transcript: NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities. Atlanta, Georgia (July 20, 1995), pp. 47-49.

⁴⁷ Transcript: NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities. Oakland, California (July 18, 1995) pp. 100-101.

not have the same opportunities. I have several degrees and I'm working in a voluntary capacity. What we are seeing is a lot of frustration, a lot of frustration and anger. These things definitely need to be addressed.

*Derrick Willis, Emmanuel Community
Center (Detroit, Michigan)⁴⁸*

At the root of the problems confronting urban/brownfields communities are massive economic shifts which have marked the past two decades. Hundreds of thousands of industrial jobs have either disappeared or moved away from the central city and its neighborhoods. While some downtown areas have experienced revival, the jobs created are very different than those which once sustained neighborhoods. For many people in older city neighborhoods, new approaches to rebuilding their lives and communities, new openings to opportunities, are a vital necessity.

In looking at barriers to reinvestment and revitalization, the Brownfields Initiative must look at non-environmental barriers such as high taxes, depressed property values, crime, congestion, redlining, level of community services, and racial discrimination in lending and insurance practices. Among these is environmental contamination--past and present.

The Public Dialogues sought to engage all institutions about their roles in ensuring healthy and sustainable communities. The Subcommittee took the position that all social institutions--including faith groups, labor unions, universities, philanthropies, business, and others--have a responsibility to participate in a meaningful way in achieving urban revitalization and building healthy and sustainable communities. Their participation should be consistent with community empowerment principles. This involves a commitment of real resources--human and financial--for accomplishing the task.

Many participants commented that the Brownfields Initiative must build partnerships not only between community and government agencies, but with other groups and institutions who can assist with urban revitalization. These partnerships are essential to solving problems which are difficult for one entity to address alone. The importance of forging partnerships with youth through schools and other communities was reiterated; young people within a community who possess leadership capabilities can be the solution to many problems. Partnerships must bring all stakeholders to the table as equal partners.

Much distrust of corporations and the business sector exists in communities, especially in places like South Central Los Angeles and Detroit where residents have witnessed a history of corporate disinvestment. However, members of the business community affirmed the importance of an empowered community and the need for forthright dialogue.

The Subcommittee is mindful of the fact that EPA's Brownfields Initiative is only a small beginning. It provides resources only for planning, assessment, and partnership building. None of present Brownfields Pilot Project funding goes to actual site cleanup or job training activities. This has been a source of great consternation for the many community groups who are interested in initiating projects around specific brownfields sites. Nonetheless these funds have played an invaluable role in getting the

⁴⁸ Transcript: NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities. Detroit, Michigan (June 9, 1995), pp. 176-177.

process started. There also are heartening examples of significant funds being leveraged as a result of the Brownfields Pilot Projects.

Government agencies and societal institutions must not view communities as merely an assortment of needs but as a collection of assets which can be built upon . Great resilience exists in the economic, cultural, and spiritual life of America's communities. There exists many stellar accomplishments, entrepreneurial successes, and significant victories. Efforts must be made to ensure proper media coverage of these authentic signs of hope.

Specific Recommendations:

- ☐ 8a. ***Support efforts to build upon community assets and increase community capacity through information, training, and grassroots organizational development .***
- ☐ 8b. ***Explore the development of a brownfields grant program which provides funds directly to community groups in partnership with locally based non-governmental institutions .***
- ☐ 8c. ***Support community efforts to identify and mobilize institutional resources for community revitalization.***
- ☐ 8d. ***Support community efforts to press financial institutions to become more responsive to their concerns, particularly through mechanisms like the Community Reinvestment Act .***
- ☐ 8e. ***Strengthen partnerships between communities and academic institutions as parts of efforts to help communities achieve the skills necessary for self-advocacy, increase access to information, and provide a forum for the exchange and testing of innovative ideas .***
- ☐ 8f. ***Convene a summit meeting of all stakeholders working on or affected by brownfields projects as an opportunity to bring together all parties to discuss critical issues, craft unified strategies, and determine actions for follow-up .***
- ☐ 8g. ***Coordinate with philanthropic groups to provide resources to community groups for technical assistance and other needs .***
- ☐ 8h. ***Support efforts to establish an environmental roundtable dedicated to addressing issues of achieving healthy and sustainable communities, including environmental justice, public health, brownfields, land use planning, and other issues .***
- ☐ 8i. ***Promote partnerships between local businesses and the communities where firms are***

based, including use of community advisory panels .

☐ 8j. *Develop educational curriculum for schools that encourages student interest in reclaiming their environment and other ways of developing partnerships with the local educational system--public and private .*

☐ 8k. *Encourage suburban residents to participate in and contribute to urban revitalization efforts .*

☐ 8l. *Invite local groups, faith groups, labor organizations, schools, philanthropies and others to play a role in bringing together multiple stakeholders, amplifying the voice of the community, and supporting community volunteer staff who are spread thin with a myriad of commitments .*

☐ 8m. *Assign staff to work in local groups, universities, and local governments in partnership with local communities .*

9. Local, State, Tribal, and Territorial Governments

[Insert appropriate statement from NEJAC Public Dialogue transcripts]

The role of local, state, tribal, and territorial Governments in urban revitalization/ brownfields issues is an area of great importance. This is particularly true since the brownfields issue ultimately revolves around voluntary cleanup. Local, state, tribal, and territorial governments each play unique roles and have specific needs. The Subcommittee urges that much attention be given to this area.

Local governments increasingly recognize the importance of addressing contaminated properties and brownfields issues. Mature urbanized areas are now faced with a second or third generation of development. Properties must be reclaimed and reused if these cities are to remain prosperous. Municipalities are beset with the effects of economic disinvestment job loss, and tax base depletion as well as the negative impacts of urban sprawl and resultant vehicular traffic, congestion, air pollution, and energy waste.

There is no doubt that all municipalities critically need to find tangible solutions to the problems represented by urban decay and brownfields. These are enormous challenges due to the following reasons: (1) Municipalities often lack the technical expertise on the regulatory and legal details of the brownfields problems and require assistance in building capacity. (2) Municipalities often lack the means to capitalize upon and promote new opportunities for local job creation and business development, particularly in inner city neighborhoods, through training, technical, and financial assistance. (3) Municipalities often lack the capacity to identify and develop new and innovative financing strategies. (4) Municipalities often lack adequate mechanisms for ensuring full participation of the community and other stakeholders. (5) Municipalities are themselves often beset with difficult to resolve liability problems.

In short, the vast majority of local governments lack the capacity and resources to develop effective strategies for dealing with the multitude of brownfields within their jurisdiction. Local Governments must be empowered to find a radically different approach to solving urban revitalization/brownfields problems; this entails full involvement of impacted communities, new partnerships, and adequate resources.

In the eyes of many state governments, brownfields is an exciting initiative because it allows us to envision the passage of unused, polluted real estate through a sound environmental cleanup, and culminate to a usable property which can make a difference in peoples' lives. Such a process, must at an early stage, pass through a successful environmental cleanup in order to proceed on to an outcome where the real value to people is achievable. If the cleanup is delayed or impaired, the whole project would suffer. States request that it be understood that regulatory management and oversight at any specific brownfields site will not be provided by the federal government but by the State voluntary cleanup program office. Because virtually no potential brownfields sites are on the National Priorities List, the federal government's direct involvement in actual site cleanup may be minimal. Thus, if too few participants understand that there is a key state role to be executed at a critical early nexus of the brownfields process, i.e., the execution of a sound cleanup, there is danger that delays could result from a lack of early communications with state voluntary program offices regarding coordination, early identification of acceptable remedies, and arrangements to meet state cleanup standards and

procedures.

American Indians, Alaskan Natives, and other indigenous peoples have a unique cultural and legal relationship to the United States that deserve special consideration. Despite federal agencies mandates to fulfill the trust responsibility to Tribes that reflect the government-to- government relationship, Tribes have not been treated equitably compared to states. As a result, many Tribes do not have environmental infrastructures to stop degradation of the environment and remediate environmental damage. These lands, which are among the most impoverished in the nation, are subjected to a broad range of environmental problems including illegal dumping, hazardous waste disposal, surface and groundwater contamination, air pollution, leaking underground and above ground storage tanks, military pollution and threats, mining wastes, habitat destruction and human health risks. Inadequate funding from the federal government has led to many environmental problems which Tribes face today. Environmental justice with tribes must assure the right of Tribes to protect, regulate and manage their environmental resources.

In addition, there are special concerns for Native Americans who have been relocated to urban areas and who are no longer functioning within Tribal government jurisdiction. The Federal responsibility for the well being of these individuals is not limited to the boundaries of Tribal lands. Accordingly, it is important for EPA and other agencies with such responsibilities to assure that urban revitalization programs provide appropriate participation and visibility for this group of community concerns.

Another area deserving of special attention are brownfields issues in U.S. Territories. For example, brownfields sites may exist in significant numbers in Puerto Rico. Moreover, the Subcommittee believes that Territories are similar to American Indian Tribes in terms of their environmental infrastructure needs.

The present brownfields pilot projects are totally dependent on full participation of Local, State, Tribal, and Territorial Governments for planning and implementation activities. Under existing law EPA looks to State, Local, Tribal, and Territorial Governments as the legal operating entity for the implementation of brownfields program grants. Because these entities are the receiving source of funds it is clear that they are the principal organizations which must form the community partnerships that will enable successful brownfields programs to come into fruition. Moreover, as the governmental entities where the affected communities are located, the participation of the Local, State, Tribal, and/or Territorial government is essential to the effective outcome of any urban revitalization/brownfields strategy.

Unfortunately there is much evidence of lack of communications and distrust between government organizations and communities concerned with the brownfields programs. The Subcommittee found during its hearings that this distrust is not in the distant past, but is a continuing barrier to EPA's effective implementation of this program. How to bring the various community interests together with the necessary operating government officials was a recurring theme of all the Public Dialogues, and to a certain extent, the dominant theme at more than one of them. Since the government organizations, or some other entity created by them will be the moving force behind urban cleanup efforts, it is a serious challenge to design a protocol that will move beyond the lack of communications and distrust into meaningful communications and positive action by all the concerned parties.

Specific recommendations to come

10. *Federal Interagency Cooperation, Programmatic Integration, and Government Reinvention*

I want to introduce into the record a paper recently published on collaborative processes for community improvement. It can easily be applied to the brownfields question. The author speaks to the need for articulating the role of the community as the central initiator and guider in the process. He argues that we have a number of interactions between federal government, local governments, academic institutions, and communities. Many of us do networking on a regular basis. But there is a higher level of interacting called coordination, i.e., trying to work across federal agencies and do some planning together. There is a next level called cooperation, e.g., perhaps setting budget priorities in more thoughtful and flexible ways. The paper advances the idea of an entire level of interaction called collaborative empowerment. This is something new; it gets to the heart of some of the debates about environmental justice.

The communities who are grappling with disproportionate impacts on their environment, their health, and their future, must be the organizations that set the goals and objectives as to what will occur in their communities. Those of us--be it from federal agencies, academic institutions, foundations, or otherwise--need to interact from the position of helping to evolve those goals into a larger empowerment and betterment mode.

After the communities have established goals and objectives, it is time for sitting down, doing an analysis of the available pool of resources, and how they will bring these resources to the table in a way that elevate the contribution of the community itself. This paper argues that the first element of the community based goal setting is a discussion about values... This is not often a starting point for discussions about environmental health research, but I do believe there are hopeful signs for the future in this arena.

The first is the [Federal] Interagency Working Group⁴⁹ on Environmental Justice Task Force for Model Projects. One of the mandatory characteristic of a project is to seek involvement of representatives from adversely impacted populations in all phases of the projects--initiation, design, conduct, and evaluation. We have not yet implemented these mandatory characteristics in all models or our interagency projects. But I think the principle is available for everybody to challenge the federal government on...

[Regarding the question of available tools and resources], the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) has a worker training program. That program has trained over a half million workers and has created an infrastructure of outreach connecting academic institutions and community based organizations around this country which have been engaged in community development discussions for a very long time. We work with them through our institute on hazardous waste training and awareness.

⁴⁹ Established by Executive Order 12898--Federal Actions to Ensure Environmental Justice in Minority and Low Income Populations.

We are now increasing training programs for minority communities and groups. This is highly relevant to the preceding discussion about community visions for sustainable development. The NIEHS worker training program needs to be put on the landscape as a resource for potential brownfields development projects.

*Gerry Poje, National Institute for Environmental
Health Sciences⁵⁰*

The original and most enduring proponents of government reinvention are community residents engaged in overcoming systemic impediments to locally-based solutions. A resounding theme of the Public Dialogues was the need for federal interagency cooperation and coordination. Different federal programs must be integrated in the context of problems defined by the community. By definition, genuine government reinvention cannot take place unless it is a community-driven process.

The heart and soul of an authentic government reinvention process is the many vibrant and coherent community-based visions of healthy and sustainable communities. The Public Dialogues illustrated this fact must be applied to issues of the role of different federal agencies. Communities begin with a holistic understanding of their history, needs, assets, and aspirations. They see issues such as cleaning up sites, creating jobs, ensuring decent housing, ensuring investment and economic development, and ending the debilitating effect of racism as cross-cutting and inseparable issues. Such community-based visions provide the compass for public policy discourse on the role of the federal government and government restructuring.

There already exists many federal policy and program initiatives which lend themselves to viable integrative strategies. These include EPA's "targeted geographic initiatives" and "community-based environmental protection," HUD's "empowerment zones/enterprise communities" and "livable cities," DOT's "livable communities," and CDC's "healthy homes, healthy communities, and healthy peoples" concepts. Similar such policy and program initiatives exist in literally every federal agency seriously attempting to address place-based, multi-faceted, and cross-cutting issues such as urban revitalization and brownfields.

Executive Order 12898 on environmental justice presents a logical opportunity to begin that process. For this reason, the NEJAC adopted a resolution calling upon EPA to:

Request that the development of one unified, integrated, and cross-cutting strategy to address issues of urban revitalization and the development of healthy and sustainable communities be made a priority agenda item for the implementation of Executive Order 12898 and the Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice. ⁵¹

In addition, the Subcommittee sees great value in interfacing with the Federal Facilities Restoration Dialogue Committee. In December 1995, the Subcommittee began a formal dialogue with members of

⁵⁰ Transcripts-NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities. Atlanta, Georgia (July 20, 1995) pp. 161-168.

⁵¹ National Environmental Justice Advisory Council, Resolution on "Environmental Justice and Urban Revitalization." Crystal City, Maryland, July 25-26, 1995.

the Federal Facility Environmental Restoration Dialogue Committee (FFERDC). We believe that the Federal Facilities Restoration process has developed many lessons which apply directly to the Brownfields Initiative. There is an entire body of protocols and knowledge about community participation, environmental cleanup, and restoration issues developed as a result of this process.⁵² Moreover, there are linkages between federal facilities restoration, urban revitalization, and brownfields which must be tapped to achieve the full societal benefit of these programs. We believe that similar processes should be taking place with other federal agencies and initiatives as well.

The urban crisis--in which brownfields issues are embedded-- is systemic in nature. Efforts to resolve any single problem are doomed to failure if they are not integrated into a multi-faceted strategy. Government must be reinvented to address this reality. Government reinvention cannot merely be talk. The American electorate is absolutely correct in rejecting wastefulness, inefficiency, and bureaucratic insensitivity. However this does not mean that they are adverse to making sound investments for a better future. They demand decision making processes which have integrity and to which they are connected. There exists widespread anxiety about our common future and people seek authentic signs of hope.

EPA and other federal agencies must be committed to developing a unified set of strategies which will provide an authentic sign of hope and thus prove capable of imbuing the American people with a sense of a new ennobling nation mission. The Subcommittee posed the following question: What is the importance of having a coherent, unified, multi-faceted, and energizing urban revitalization strategy which can provide an anchor for mobilization of non-governmental resources?

Specific Recommendations:

☐ 10a. ***Provide opportunities for communities to systematically engage EPA and other federal around ways in which federal programs around ways by which they can coordinate programs, pool resources and tap expertise***

☐ 10b. ***Compile an inventory of all federal policy and program initiatives which are relevant to urban revitalization and brownfields***

The community needs an inventory of such government policy and program initiatives to serve as a road-map for communities.

☐ 10c. ***Build a series of bi-lateral partnerships such that together they achieve a critical mass for coalescing a unified strategy capable of tapping multiple resources and expertise***

These include the following agencies: [Provide suggestions for specific collaboration and cooperation possibilities⁵³.]

⁵² See Federal Facilities Restoration Dialogue Committee Final Report: 2nd Draft, December 4, 1995.

⁵³ Please see discussion in section "Key Questions in the Brownfields Debate: Executive Order 12898 and (continued...)

- U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT)
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
- U.S. Department of Defense (DoD)
- U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Service (HHS)
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
- National Institutes for Environmental Health Science (NIEHS)
- Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC)
- U.S. Department of Labor (DOL)
- Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA)
- National Institutes for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)
- Economic Development Administration (EDA)
- U.S. Department of Interior (DOI)
- U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (DOA)
- U.S. Department of Education

☐ 10d. *Utilize Executive Order 12898 on environmental justice and the Federal Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice as a mechanism to build partnerships and to coalesce a unified national strategy across all federal agencies*

☐ 10e. *Form partnerships to work in an interagency manner on regional and local levels, particularly in brownfields pilot project cities; Ensure "one stop shopping" at the community level for all federal agencies*

☐ 10f. *Ensure programmatic integration between brownfields and other EPA programs. Integrate place-based approaches to environmental protection with sector-based approaches and their implications for industrial policy .*

(...continued)

Government Reinvention."

CONCLUSION

The future of its cities may well decide America's survival not merely as a society but as a civilization. As we look to the 21st century, what endeavor could possibly be more eminently worthy and necessary; more obviously logical and deserving of our national attention, expertise, and resources; or more meaningful and spiritually nourishing than that of revitalizing America's urban areas and ensuring healthy and sustainable communities--both urban and rural. A challenge so great as this cannot be met without compelling visions of what constitutes healthy and sustainable communities. We have found that such visions already exist in highly coherent and vibrant ways within many communities across the nation.

*Charles Lee, United Church of Christ
Commission for Racial Justice⁵⁴*

Brownfields are inseparable from environmental justice and urban revitalization. When put into its proper context, they reveal a nexus of issues which are indeed civilizational in dimension. Without just and livable, environmentally sound, economically sustainable, spiritually and psychologically whole urban communities, the ecological integrity of all areas in the nation--if not the world--is jeopardized. To achieve healthy and sustainable urban communities, we cannot evade the multitude of issues raised through the brownfields debate.

A host of issues are associated with the systemic crisis in America's urban centers. In the fullest sense the urban crisis is fundamentally an ecological one, rooted in among other things the racial makeup of the structure of American cities. These issues include the untenable growth of urban sprawl, ecological importance of the urban environment, the vexing issues of race in American society, new frontiers for conduct of environmental science, reinventing government, building of new partnerships between and new community-driven visions of revitalization that properly balance economic and environmental choices.

The Subcommittee believes that these are issues we as a nation can ill afford to ignore. We saw the Public Dialogues on "Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities" as an attempt to stimulate a new and vigorous public discourse over the environmental and economic future of America's cities. We hope that these Public Dialogues are only the beginning of many efforts by which solutions to urban revitalization/ brownfields issues can be coalesced into a coherent and compelling social vision.

The nation is locked within the throes of a set of transitions which are demographic, economic, environmental, technological, social, cultural, linguistic, generational, and indeed spiritual in nature. Urban revitalization and brownfields offer an opportunity to shape new policy, programs, partnerships, and pilot projects which rise to the challenge of the cross-cutting issues raised in this report. The Subcommittee continues to pose these questions: Can this process

⁵⁴ Transcripts-NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields: Envisioning Healthy and Sustainable Communities. Atlanta, Georgia (July 20, 1995) pp. 11-12.

begin to set a direction capable of crystallizing a unifying and cross-cutting vision within the federal government to serve as an anchor for the mobilization of society's resources--both public and private? Can the restoration of the physical environment in America's cities become the anchoring point for economic, social, cultural, and spiritual renewal and thus provide the basis for a embarking upon a new and ennobling national mission?

If the brownfields issue is nothing else, it was an opportunity for community groups to engage government, developers, and other stakeholders around what their vision of what are healthy and sustainable communities. The stakes cannot be greater. As we confront the next century, the nation desperately needs a vision which will address issues of racial and economic polarization, economic and ecological sustainability, full mobilization of both public and private sector resources, and the capacity to engage in meaningful public discourse. As many Public Dialogue participants reiterated, "These are indeed issues of civilizational dimensions."

In order to translate the momentum, enthusiasm, and hard work already committed to this issue into tangible and lasting benefits, EPA and other federal agencies must begin to think about a new framework which will address the issues raised through the Public Dialogues. The hallmark of that process must be informed and empowered community involvement. At the same time, the Subcommittee recommends that all agencies in the federal government consider the cross-cutting issues raised in the report and begin to shape coordinated and integrative strategies. We sincerely thank EPA for its support of the NEJAC Public Dialogues on Urban Revitalization and Brownfields. We hope that it has provided a context as well as a "road map" for moving in such a direction.

Finally, the Subcommittee can accurately say that we have succeeded in starting a process by which environmental justice advocates and impacted communities have changed the operative definition of the term "brownfields." This already has translated into some significant changes in the way that the EPA Brownfields Initiative is being implemented. We hope to engage a process which ultimately will coalesce a new type of environmental and social policy capable of meeting the challenges of revitalizing urban America and restoring ecological balance to the nation. This was our intent; anything less would have amounted to a failure of leadership, a breaking of faith with communities, and acquiescence to business as usual.

[Back Cover Page]

CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
BACKGROUND	1
APPLICATION OF NEJAC PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MODEL	5
KEY QUESTIONS IN THE BROWNFIELDS DEBATE	7
I. Understanding the Nature of the Urban Environment	9
II. The Ecological Importance of Urban Areas	10
III. Reframing the Urban/Rural Dichotomy	10
IV. Confronting the Issue of Race and Class	11
V. Urban Revitalization and Community-Driven Models of Redevelopment	12
VI. Community Mapping and Community-Based Environmental Protection	13
VII. Executive Order 12898 and Government Reinvention	15
VIII. Environmental Justice and the Next Generation of Environmental Protection	16
SUMMARY OF EACH Brownfields DIALOGUE	19
URBAN REVITALIZATION/BROWNFIELDS RECOMMENDATIONS	20
I. Public Participation and Community Vision	20
1. Informed and Empowered Community Involvement	20
2. Community Vision/Comprehensive Community Based Planning	20
3. Role and Participation of Youth	20
II. Key Issue Areas	20
4. Equal Protection	20
5. Public Health, Environmental Standards, and Liability	20
6. Job Creation, Training, and Career Development	20
7. Land Use	20
III. Public and Private Sector Partnerships	21
8. Community/Private Sector Partnerships	21
9. Local, State, Tribal, and Territorial Government	21
10. Federal Interagency Cooperation, Programmatic Integration, and Government Reinvention	21
CONCLUSION	51

Appendices